

Appendix 2

Objectives and Measures	Target	02/03 Performance	YTD Performance	Projection	Comment
Objective 1	<i>To minimise the risk to life and property from terrorist activity in London</i>				
Number of quality intelligence reports submitted	No target ¹				
Number of Counter Terrorism Deployments	No target ²	-	-	-	
Objective 2	To maintain an effective response to suspected and actual terrorist incidents				
To provide quality of response	90%	92%	93%	92%	Quality measure under review. Refined version due to be implemented by 1 April 2004
Once tasked attend suspected or actual terrorist scene within set target	100%	-	-	-	Measure under development with completion and implementation due by 1 April 2004
Objective 3	To reduce the level of gun enabled crime				
Gun enabled crime level	- 4%	3,824	2,682 ³	3,576	New measure but being introduced in MB briefings alongside current definition; 'gun-related violent crime'. Data available now.
Detection* rate for gun enabled crime	Target subject to baseline setting	-	-	-	Detection rate target to be developed, and further work to establish ethnicity of victim is in progress.
Objective 4	To disrupt organised criminal activity of persons identified as Class A drugs suppliers				
Number of drug trafficking organisations disrupted or dismantled	1 per month				SCD to have MI system in place by 1 April 04,

¹ It is not appropriate to set a target for this measure, however, MPA to be continually appraised of performance in this area of work

² It is not appropriate to set a target for this measure, however, MPA to be continually appraised of performance in this area of work

³ April to December 2003

*Home Office definition

Number of detections for supply offences in respect of class A drugs per 10,000 population	Target subject to baseline setting	-	-	-	Wording of measure subject to review by TP. Activity is likely to focus on neighbourhood safety issues identified by BOCUs as part of their neighbourhood safety programmes
Number of offenders with previous convictions for supplying Class A drugs		-	-	-	SCD on reflection propose to remove this measure as it does not add to the overall objective achievement
Objective 5	To dismantle organised criminal networks and seize their assets				
Value of assets recovered	More than £8M				This is a new measure. Developmental work is in progress to establish suitable process for capturing the required information and for providing data
Number of organised criminal networks dismantled	2 per month				This is a new measure. Developmental work is in progress to establish suitable process for capturing the required information and for providing data
Objective 6	To improve neighbourhood safety				
Public satisfaction with the way neighbourhoods are policed in London	+5%				Survey measures are moving away from those currently used in the MPS Public Attitude Survey to the PPAF equivalent. The questions asked in PPAF are slightly different, so baseline data will start to accumulate from April 2004
The average number of hours uniformed operational police officers and police staff are visible	+5%	n/a	Approx. 1.4 million hours per month	Approx. 1.4 million hours per month	OPM data available back to April 2003.
Proportion of police officer time available for front line policing	Target subject to baseline setting	n/a	89%	89%	This varies from the previous measure. It is however the PPAF definition and as such will need to be reported upon.

% of people who think police are doing a good job	Target subject to baseline setting	Survey measures are moving away from those currently used in the MPS Public Attitude Survey to the PPAF equivalent. The questions asked in PPAF are slightly different, so baseline data will start to accumulate from April 2004			PAS <u>does not</u> currently collect data on this question. However, from March/April the PAS/Reassurance survey will ask the following question; "Would you say police in this areas do a good job or a bad job?"
% who think crime has increased/decreased in neighbourhood where they live	Target subject to baseline setting	Survey measures are moving away from those currently used in the MPS Public Attitude Survey to the PPAF equivalent. The questions asked in PPAF are slightly different, so baseline data will start to accumulate from April 2004			PAS <u>does not</u> currently collect data on this question. However, from March/April the PAS/Reassurance survey will ask the following question; "In this area, would you say there is more or less crime?"
% who think anti-social behaviour has increased/decreased	Target subject to baseline setting	Survey measures are moving away from those currently used in the MPS Public Attitude Survey to the PPAF equivalent. The questions asked in PPAF are slightly different, so baseline data will start to accumulate from April 2004			PAS <u>does not</u> currently collect data on this question. However, from March/April the PAS/Reassurance survey will ask the following question; "Would you say anti-social behaviour has got worse, got better or stayed the same?"
Objective 7	To reduce the level of robbery compared to 2003/04				
The number of robberies	-7%	42,496	30,280 ⁴	40,373	A target of -11% is feasible subject to additional Home Office funding
Robberies per 1000 pop	-7%	5.9	4.2	5.6	A target of -11% is feasible subject to additional Home Office funding
% robberies detected	15%	13.0%	12.0%	12.4%	Detections - Based on Home Office definition
Objective 8	To improve our contribution to the effectiveness of the criminal justice system				
% of TNOs Detected	20%	12.6%	12.3%	12.3%	Detections - Based on Home Office definition

⁴ April to December 2003

No. of arrest warrants outstanding	-15%				
Victim satisfaction	Target subject to baseline setting				This data is collected via the Crime Victim Survey. CPG have data on initial satisfaction levels from 1999. With the introduction of PPAF in April, we will also be able to look at overall satisfaction levels. PPAF
Objective 9	To recognise and respond appropriately to the differential impact of crime on people taking into account their race, gender, sexual orientation, faith, age or disability				
Detection* rate for rape	28%	28.9%	24.1% ¹	24.1%	Based on primary detections definition
Detection* rate for homophobic crime	23%	18%	16.6%**	16.6%	Based on primary detections definition
Detection* rate for racist crime	20%	18.6	16.3%**	16.3%	Based on primary detections definition
Detection* rate for domestic violence	+19	16.9%	16.8%**	16.8%	Based on primary detections definition
Percentage of victims of racist incidents satisfied	70%	67.5	67.3%***		Wording in the new survey builds on current survey but will still capture overall police performance therefore current data to be used
Objective 10	To safeguard Children and Young Persons from physical and sexual abuse				
Detection rate in allegations of intra-familial abuse	35%	39%	32%	35%	Data not available from corporate crime database but process are in place locally to enable provision of data

¹ April to September 03

*Note that measures based on offences being brought to justice (OBTJ) to be introduced in the longer term.

** April to November data

*** April to September 03

Detection rate against suspects identified as a threat by the SCD5 intelligence unit	Increase arrests by 10%	56 arrests (detection rate not known)	11 arrest (detection rate not known)	28 arrests	Data not available from corporate crime database but process are in place locally to enable provision of data
Detection rate for murders investigated by SCD5 MITs	83%	N/A	75%	100%	Data not available from corporate crime database but process are in place locally to enable provision of data

*Note that measures based on offences being brought to justice (OBTJ) to be introduced in the longer term.

** April to November 03

*** April to September 03