RACE AND EQUALITY IMPACT

Public Complaints allegations per 100 officers by type – September 2005 to August 2006: People

Table 18 shows the number of complainants within each borough over the last 12 months and breaks them down by ethnicity, gender and age. Both the TP and MPS comparison totals are also illustrated.

Table 18 – <u>number of complainants</u>

		TP PFM Family Group 1															
	mplainant tails:	Barking &	Dagenham	Enfield		Harrow		Hillingdon		Hounslow		Redbridge		TP Total		MPS Total	
	White	14	33%	43	38%	27	29%	33	40%	22	23%	31	35%	1095	25%	1285	25%
4	Black	1	2%	25	22%	19	20%	15	18%	14	15%	10	11%	798	18%	953	19%
ici	Asian	5	12%	5	4%	10	11%	10	12%	9	9%	16	18%	225	5%	262	5%
Ethnicity	Other		2%	_	4%		5%	Ī		-	2%	<u></u>		77	2%	96	2%
E	Unknown	21	50%	34	30%	32	34%	24	29%	49	51%	30	34%	2169	50%	2518	49%
	Total	•	42	1	12	(93		83		96		89	43	64	51	14
Gender	Female Male Unknown Total	28 1	67%	62 2	55%	62		56 1	67%	72 3		58			63% 6%	1546 3286 282 51	64% 6%
	0 16 voore			4	4%	1	1%	1	1%	2	3%	2	3%	71	2%	86	2%
	0-16 years 17-24		70/	•				<u> </u>						392	9%	480	<u>2%</u> 9%
	years		1 70	10	14/0	1 1	12 70	23	2070	U	070	13	1370	392	970	400	970
	25-44		36%	4 0	36%	34	37%	25	30%	23	24%	27	30%	1275	29%	1507	29%
	years		0070	70	0070	0-1	01 70		0070		2-170		0070	1270	2370	1007	2570
Age	45-64		24%	22	20%	18	19%	12	14%	10	10%	22	25%	523	12%	615	12%
A	years		,0		_0,0	. •	. • , •	-	, 0				_0,0		,		,,
	Over 65		2%	4	4%			2	2%	1	1%	1	1%	63	1%	78	2%
	years																
	Unknown	13	31%	26	23%	29	31%	20	24%	53	55%	23	26%	2040	47%	2348	46%
	Total	,	42	1	12	,	93		83		96		89	43	64	51	14

It can be seen that the ethnicity of the complainant is unknown in a high percentage of instances, on average 50% across TP. Within this family group, the extent to which ethnicity is unknown ranges between 30% in *Enfield* and 51% in *Hounslow*. The DPS, specifically the Reception Desk, is working with the IPCC to address this.

It is evident that, in many instances, a higher proportion of complainants are

from non-white communities. Importantly, the demographics of the Borough resident population and the transient population that it may attract will play a large part in determining the proportion of complaints from different communities.

Most notably, Black people are represented greatly within complaints. However, within *Barking & Dagenham* and *Redbridge*, Asian complainants appear disproportionately represented, at 12% and 18%. The ethnicity of the resident population (2001 census*) shows that for *Barking & Dagenham* this may indeed be disproportionate as Asian people represent approximately 5% of the population whereas in *Redbridge* those complaining are below, compared with the resident population of 25%. *(It is recognised that the 2001 census is dated and may not accurately represent the resident population as it is today. Further research would be required to ascertain the true picture).

The capture of gender related information is much better than that for ethnicity. On average, in respect of complaints the split is generally 30% female and 70% male. It is interesting to note that Enfield had a much higher proportion of female complainants, than the norm whereas Hounslow has a higher proportion of male complainants.

In respect of the complainants' age, the percentage where age is unknown is only marginally better than that for ethnicity. The highest proportion of complainants are aged between 25-44 years old, which was the same as was evident in the previous reports covering other TP PFM families. However, *Hillingdon* has the highest proportion of people complaining aged between 17 and 24 years old at 28% of the total, which is three times the TP norm.

Table 19 shows the number of officers within each borough receiving complaints over the last 12 months and breaks them down by ethnicity, gender and length of service.

Table 19 – Number officers receiving complaints

					TP F	PFN	I Fan	nily	Grou	лр 1							
	Officer Details:	Barking &	Dagenham	Enfield		Harrow		Hillingdon		Hounslow		Redbridge		TP Total		MPS Total	
	White	41	65%	127	69%	120	75%	122	81%	101	73%	83	65%	3541	56%	4459	60%
\$	Black			3	2%	5	3%			1	1%	5	4%	102	2%	125	2%
Ethnicity	Asian	2	3%	6	3%	7	4%	4	3%	12	9%	4	3%	134	2%	154	2%
thr	Other	2	3%	3	2%	2	1%	2	1%	3	2%	4	3%	124	2%	146	2%
E	Unknown	18	29%	45	24%	27	17%	22	15%	21	15%	32	25%	2392	38%	2601	35%
	Total	(63	1	84	1	61	1	50	1	38	1	28	62	93	74	85
		1														1	
95	Female																
Gender																4157	
3e	Unknown	15.	24%	44	24%	24	15%	22	15%	18	13%	31	24%	2325	37%	2517	34%
J	Total	(63	1	84	1	61	1	50	1	38	1	28	62	93	74	
																	85
	0-2 years	19	30%	36	20%	41	25%	32	21%	29	21%	13	10%	1091	17%	1161	85 16%
	0-2 years 3 years	19 10	30% 16%	36 23	20% 13%	41 27	25% 17%	32 28	21% 19%	29 20	21% 14%	13 13	10% 10%	1091 675	17% 11%	1161 768	8 5 16% 10%
	0-2 years 3 years 4 years	19 10 2	30% 16% 3%	36 23 13	20% 13% 7%	41 27 7	25% 17% 4%	32 28 16	21% 19% 11%	29 20 8	21% 14% 6%	13 13 12	10% 10% 9%	1091 675 428	17% 11% 7%	1161 768 521	16% 10% 7%
	0-2 years 3 years 4 years 5-9 years	19 10 2 2	30% 16% 3% 3%	36 23 13 30	20% 13% 7% 16%	41 27 7 18	25% 17% 4% 11%	32 28 16 15	21% 19% 11% 10%	29 20 8 27	21% 14% 6% 20%	13 13 12 24	10% 10% 9% 19%	1091 675 428 598	17% 11% 7% 10%	1161 768 521 821	16% 10% 7% 11%
	0-2 years 3 years 4 years 5-9 years 10-14 years	19 10 2 2 1	30% 16% 3% 3% 2%	36 23 13 30 6	20% 13% 7% 16% 3%	41 27 7 18 1	25% 17% 4% 11% 1%	32 28 16 15 12	21% 19% 11% 10% 8%	29 20 8 27 13	21% 14% 6% 20% 9%	13 13 12 24 5	10% 10% 9% 19% 4%	1091 675 428 598 344	17% 11% 7% 10% 5%	1161 768 521 821 493	16% 10% 7% 11% 7%
	0-2 years 3 years 4 years 5-9 years 10-14 years 15-19 years	19 10 2 2 1 4	30% 16% 3% 3% 2% 6%	36 23 13 30 6 13	20% 13% 7% 16% 3% 7%	41 27 7 18 1	25% 17% 4% 11% 1% 7%	32 28 16 15 12 5	21% 19% 11% 10% 8% 3%	29 20 8 27 13 8	21% 14% 6% 20% 9% 6%	13 12 12 24 5 11	10% 10% 9% 19% 4% 9%	1091 675 428 598 344 282	17% 11% 7% 10% 5% 4%	1161 768 521 821 493 426	85 16% 10% 7% 11% 7% 6%
	0-2 years 3 years 4 years 5-9 years 10-14 years 15-19 years 20-24 years	19 10 2 2 1 4 3	30% 16% 3% 3% 2% 6% 5%	36 23 13 30 6 13 8	20% 13% 7% 16% 3% 7% 4%	41 27 7 18 1 12 13	25% 17% 4% 11% 1% 7% 8%	32 28 16 15 12 5 5	21% 19% 11% 10% 8% 3% 3%	29 20 8 27 13 8 5	21% 14% 6% 20% 9% 6% 4%	13 12 24 5 11 8	10% 10% 9% 19% 4% 9% 6%	1091 675 428 598 344 282 186	17% 11% 7% 10% 5% 4% 3%	1161 768 521 821 493 426 281	16% 10% 7% 11% 7% 6% 4%
of Service	0-2 years 3 years 4 years 5-9 years 10-14 years 15-19 years 20-24 years	19 10 2 2 1 4	30% 16% 3% 3% 2% 6%	36 23 13 30 6 13	20% 13% 7% 16% 3% 7%	41 27 7 18 1	25% 17% 4% 11% 1% 7%	32 28 16 15 12 5 5 9	21% 19% 11% 10% 8% 3% 3% 6%	29 20 8 27 13 8	21% 14% 6% 20% 9% 6%	13 12 24 5 11 8	10% 10% 9% 19% 4% 9% 6%	1091 675 428 598 344 282 186 141	17% 11% 7% 10% 5% 4% 3% 2%	1161 768 521 821 493 426 281 215	16% 10% 7% 11% 7% 6% 4% 3%
of Service	0-2 years 3 years 4 years 5-9 years 10-14 years 15-19 years 20-24 years 25-29 years 30-34 years	19 10 2 2 1 4 3	30% 16% 3% 3% 2% 6% 5%	36 23 13 30 6 13 8	20% 13% 7% 16% 3% 7% 4%	41 27 7 18 1 12 13	25% 17% 4% 11% 1% 7% 8%	32 28 16 15 12 5 5	21% 19% 11% 10% 8% 3% 3%	29 20 8 27 13 8 5	21% 14% 6% 20% 9% 6% 4%	13 12 24 5 11 8	10% 10% 9% 19% 4% 9% 6%	1091 675 428 598 344 282 186 141	17% 11% 7% 10% 5% 4% 3% 2% 0%	1161 768 521 821 493 426 281 215	16% 10% 7% 11% 7% 6% 4% 3% 0%
Length of Service	0-2 years 3 years 4 years 5-9 years 10-14 years 15-19 years 20-24 years 25-29 years 30-34 years	19 10 2 2 1 4 3 2	30% 16% 3% 3% 2% 6% 5%	36 23 13 30 6 13 8	20% 13% 7% 16% 3% 7% 4%	41 27 7 18 1 12 13	25% 17% 4% 11% 1% 7% 8%	32 28 16 15 12 5 5 9	21% 19% 11% 10% 8% 3% 3% 6%	29 20 8 27 13 8 5	21% 14% 6% 20% 9% 6% 4%	13 12 24 5 11 8	10% 10% 9% 19% 4% 9% 6%	1091 675 428 598 344 282 186 141	17% 11% 7% 10% 5% 4% 3% 2%	1161 768 521 821 493 426 281 215	16% 10% 7% 11% 7% 6% 4% 3%
Length of Service	0-2 years 3 years 4 years 5-9 years 10-14 years 15-19 years 20-24 years 25-29 years 30-34 years 35-39 years 40 years	19 10 2 2 1 4 3 2	30% 16% 3% 3% 2% 6% 5%	36 23 13 30 6 13 8	20% 13% 7% 16% 3% 7% 4%	41 27 7 18 1 12 13	25% 17% 4% 11% 1% 7% 8%	32 28 16 15 12 5 5 9	21% 19% 11% 10% 8% 3% 3% 6%	29 20 8 27 13 8 5	21% 14% 6% 20% 9% 6% 4%	13 12 24 5 11 8	10% 10% 9% 19% 4% 9% 6%	1091 675 428 598 344 282 186 141	17% 11% 7% 10% 5% 4% 3% 2% 0%	1161 768 521 821 493 426 281 215	16% 10% 7% 11% 7% 6% 4% 3% 0%
Length of Service	0-2 years 3 years 4 years 5-9 years 10-14 years 15-19 years 20-24 years 25-29 years 30-34 years 35-39 years 40 years and over	19 10 2 2 1 4 3 2	30% 16% 3% 3% 2% 6% 5% 3%	36 23 13 30 6 13 8 7	20% 13% 7% 16% 3% 7% 4% 4%	41 27 7 18 1 12 13 4	25% 17% 4% 11% 7% 8% 2%	32 28 16 15 12 5 5 9	21% 19% 11% 10% 8% 3% 3% 6% 1%	29 20 8 27 13 8 5 3	21% 14% 6% 20% 9% 6% 4% 2%	13 13 12 24 5 11 8	10% 10% 9% 19% 4% 9% 6%	1091 675 428 598 344 282 186 141 10	17% 11% 7% 10% 5% 4% 3% 2% 0% 0%	1161 768 521 821 493 426 281 215 19	16% 10% 7% 11% 6% 4% 3% 0%
Length of Service	0-2 years 3 years 4 years 5-9 years 10-14 years 15-19 years 20-24 years 25-29 years 30-34 years 35-39 years 40 years and over	19 10 2 1 4 3 2	30% 16% 3% 3% 2% 6% 5% 3%	36 23 13 30 6 13 8 7	20% 13% 7% 16% 3% 7% 4% 4%	41 27 7 18 1 12 13 4	25% 17% 4% 11% 7% 8% 2%	32 28 16 15 12 5 5 9 1	21% 19% 11% 10% 8% 3% 3% 6% 1%	29 20 8 27 13 8 5 3	21% 14% 6% 20% 9% 6% 4% 2%	13 13 12 24 5 11 8 8	10% 10% 9% 19% 4% 9% 6%	1091 675 428 598 344 282 186 141 10	17% 11% 7% 10% 5% 4% 3% 2% 0% 0%	1161 768 521 821 493 426 281 215	16% 10% 7% 11% 7% 6% 4% 3% 0% 0%

There is, on average across TP PFM group 1, approximately 21% where these details are not yet established. This is considerably less than both the TP & MPS totals. The most complete data capture appears on *Hillingdon* and *Hounslow* each with 15% unknown.

The numbers of non-white officers receiving complaints overall is small when compared with their white colleagues who make up the greater proportion of officer workforce. As a percentage, non-white officers appear to be complained of in a greater proportion to their representation within the MPS workforce would suggest. However, the recruitment policy in recent years has seen an increase in non-white officers whose length of service will mean they are more likely to be at constable/sergeant rank employed in front-line

operation roles dealing with the public. Recent figures show that over half of the black & minority ethnic police officers have less that five years service compared to 31% overall.

Female officers currently represent approximately 20% of the overall police officer workforce and generally speaking receive, on average, about 11% of the complaints. When put into context of the number of female officers based within BOCUs this figure is better as women represent 23% of the borough workforce.

Within this family group *Harrow* has the fewest complaints against female officers (8%) whereas *Hounslow* and to a lesser extent *Hillingdon's* female officers account for complaints in a similar ratio to their representation within the workforce.

The majority of officers who have contact with the general public will be younger in service. Currently 31% of police officers have less than 5 years service. The majority of Boroughs have a similar percentage of probationers, on average 17% as at the end of July 2006.

An officer's length of service may affect the numbers of complaints they receive. Early in their career probationer officers will be less experienced but likely to receive greater supervision. Once they complete the probation period, they will be supervised less but remain relatively inexperienced.

Table 20 illustrates the actual number of officers who have received formal sanctions or informal discipline in respect of allegations concluded in the 12 months to August 2006.

Table 20 – Formal sanctions/informal discipline

		TP PI	M Far						
Outcomes (Officers):	Barking & Dagenham	Enfield	Harrow	Hillingdon	Hounslow	Redbridge	H	Н 10та 1	MPS Total
Criminal Prosecution	0	0	0	0	0	0		4	5
Misconduct Hearing	0	0	0	0	0	0		11	20
Written Warning	0	0	3	5	0	0		20	28

Informal Discipline

Advice	0	1	4	8	0	0	52	57
Discussion	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1
Guidance	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	8
Training	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2

Public Complaint allegations that are substantiated are usually within the region of 2 to 3% of all finalised allegations. It is therefore expected that there will be few formal outcomes such as a *criminal prosecution* or *misconduct hearing*.

A high number of *written warnings* may mean that it is being used as a means of dealing with minor misconduct issues rather than a higher number of officers whose conduct falls short of that expected and it should be seen as encouraging. A *written warning* means that the officer accepts the failing and is a key component in encouraging learning from past mistakes.

The table shows Advice being the most used form of *informal discipline*. The greater use of this and Written Warnings in Hillingdon, and to a lesser extent Harrow, indicative of the higher proportion of their allegations that are substantiated in the first instance.