## Action plan

Ref.	Recommendation	Risk	Agreed	Management Response	Responsibility	Target Date
6.3	On the amalgamation of the vetting units, KPIs are set, agreed, monitored and appropriate action taken to address any targets that are not met.	M	Y	Upon amalgamation a review of all KPIs will be completed with a view to implementing them across the whole unit. We will also discuss with other Forces, their KPIs, where they exist, in an effort to learn from their experiences.	Head of Vetting Unit (SCD 26)	3 Months from date of amalgamation. Units not yet amalgamated PSG have KPIs and ACPO are working on Force wide vetting KPIs. SO15 have none at present.
6.4.1	Management Board gives documented approval for the creation of the new centralised unit and for the establishment of an independent Departmental Vetting Officer.	M	Y	The amalgamation was agreed between AC Yates and ACSO Quick.  The MPS already has MetSec Board overseeing MPS Vetting Board which addresses the independent aspect highlighted in 6.4.1. The MPS also has a Departmental Security Officer [Bob Farley] as Head of Information Compliance who sits on the MetSec Board.  Thus the role of Departmental Vetting Officer could effectively fall to MetSec Board.	ACSC and ACSO	April 09 The amalgamation and location of a single unit has yet to be agreed.
6.4.2	Senior management in the new unit develops a strategy for approval by Management Board that:	Н	Y	Agreed.	DCS SO15 and Head of Vetting Unit	3 Months from date of amalgamation.
	Supports national and corporate policy		Y	MPS Vetting Policy is closely aligned to ACPO National Vetting Policy [NVP] and	DCS SO15 and Head of Vetting	As Above Vetting Board has

Ref.	Recommendation	Risk	Agreed	Management Response	Responsibility	Target Date
				Home Office Circular 54/2003. These documents are currently under review. Publication of v3 NVP will allow the MPS to carry out a full review of our policies. At this time we have no publication date.	Unit	agreed review of MPS policy to report in December 09.
	<ul> <li>Includes clearly defined roles and responsibilities</li> </ul>		Y	The management and staffing structure will be agreed prior to amalgamation and reviewed within 6 months.	Head of Vetting Unit	April 2009
	Sets out governance arrangements including the remit of the Departmental Vetting Officer		Y	MPS Vetting Board, under Professional Standards Strategic Committee, was created in 2004. The relationship between MPS Vetting Board, MetSec Board and PSSC is unclear. Governance will be reviewed in discussion with the Chairs of each Committee/Board and published once agreed.	DCS SO15	April 2009
	Contains a requirement for designated Business Group vetting officers to identify the levels of clearance required for key posts within their BGs and to document clearly the rationale		Y	This is a major piece of work and will need to be undertaken once Management Board has agreed an overall strategy for the Vetting.	Head of Vetting Unit	March 2010
	Sets out monitoring and review activities		Y	The proposed structure for the amalgamated unit will include a formal Quality Assurance/Support Unit.	Head of Vetting Unit	3 Months from date of amalgamation. PSG have instigated a Performance &

Ref.	Recommendation	Risk	Agreed	Management Response	Responsibility	Target Date
	Is supported by appropriate, documented and approved corporate		Y	Will be supported by policies and procedures as appropriate.	Head of Vetting Unit	Review post at Band D level.  3 Months from date of amalgamation
	<ul> <li>Includes a requirement for KPIs to be set and monitored</li> </ul>		Y	Will include this requirement.		
	<ul> <li>Includes guidance for the vetting of personnel who work for short periods of time.</li> </ul>		N	There is no requirement and this was previously accounted for with MetSec Personal Security Policy which was then superseded by the MPS Vetting Policy.	Head of Vetting Unit	3 Months from date of amalgamation
6.4.3	Management checks are put in place to monitor compliance with appropriate, national and corporate policies and procedures.	M	Y	Agreed	Head of Vetting Unit	3 Months from date of amalgamation
7.1.1	The sponsor list held is reviewed and updated.	М	Y	Agreed.	Head of Vetting Unit	Work in progress.
7.1.2	Forms received with sponsor details that are not clearly shown or are not on the list are returned to the units.	M	Y	Agreed.	Head of Vetting Unit	With immediate effect
7.2.1	Each business group nominates a designated vetting officer who is responsible for identifying, in liaison with individual	M	Y	This work will need to be taken forward with Business Groups once strategy is agreed. However, as all MPS employees are vetted to IVC/CTC this requirement should only fall to those requiring MV, SC	Head of Vetting Unit	Ongoing

Ref.	Recommendation	Risk	Agreed	Management Response	Responsibility	Target Date
	line managers, the posts within the business group that require higher level clearance and that they also:			or DV.		
	<ul> <li>produce lists of designated staff posts and the clearance level required clearly showing the rationale used,</li> </ul>		Y	MPS Vetting Policy, SOP2 defines vetting levels and has an appendix extending to DPS and certain SCD posts but has not been updated since 2004. It will be reviewed and updated as necessary.	Head of Vetting Unit	Ongoing
	ensure that the level of clearance required is included in staff Job Descriptions,		Y	In 2005 PSG directed that all adverts in notices should state the level of vetting required, if the level was above CTC. This needs to be reinforced by the Work Force Planning Unit and then extended for compliance.	Head of Vetting Unit Work Force Planning	With Immediate affect
	<ul> <li>maintain details of clearance requests submitted to central vetting units.</li> </ul>		Y	Agreed.	Head of Vetting Unit	Ongoing
7.2.2	The centralised vetting unit undertakes sample checks, in liaison with Business Groups, to ensure that staff working for the organisation have been identified and vetted appropriately.	M	Y	It would be our intention to do this in relation to those posts vetted to higher than CTC.  All MPS staff, post 1994, have been vetted to CTC. In 1994 Management Board made the decision that those pre 1994 would not require vetting unless they moved to a post which expressly stated the staff would required a specific vetting check.	Band B/NSV	September 2009 This has yet to be completed and is delayed because of the lack of amalgamation.

Ref.	Recommendation	Risk	Agreed	Management Response	Responsibility	Target Date
				All new MPS staff cannot be issued with a pass without being vetted. In addition they cannot gain access to Aware without being vetted.		
7.5	Each Business Group Vetting Officer maintains details of non MPS staff clearance requests submitted to the central vetting unit	Н	Y	This will need to be agreed with HR Directorate and progressed.	Head of Vetting Unit/THR	December 2009
	an independent central list of all non-MPS personnel is established by a designated individual in liaison with each Business Group		Y	As above	Head of Vetting Unit/THR	December 2009. The IAM project is developing a data base of itinerant workers.
	the vetting unit compares a sample of individuals on this list against the access logs and their database of non MPS staff who have been security cleared		Y	NSVU currently update MetHR when MPS Staff have been vetted and are working through back record converting.  PSG in agreement with HR Recruitment update METHR with MSC and 10 year renewal results.  Warrantor could be required to facilitate this purpose. However, MetHR is the ideal location for a central Db of all cleared persons but is only used for MPS employees not NPP. To achieve this will require HR Directorate to direct that NPP are placed on MetHR or a link between Warrantor, or a replacement Db, and	Head of Vetting Unit/THR	December 2009

Ref.	Recommendation	Risk	Agreed	Management Response	Responsibility	Target Date
	a central database is maintained showing the security clearance status of all MPS staff and contractors.		Y	MetHR. As above	Head of Vetting Unit/THR	December 2009
7.7.1	Business Group designated Vetting Officers, in liaison with line managers, provides the Vetting Unit with details of:	M	Y	To consider whether this could be tied into the PDR system and if appropriate will do so.	Head of Vetting Unit	September 2009. Vetting Officers are not yet in place.
	personnel who require clearance renewal			For MPS personnel other than for MV and NSV this is an issue for DPS, HR and line managers to resolve and manage.	Head of Vetting Unit	
	any change in circumstances that may affect an individuals' clearance.			NSVU have rolled out a SAF for SC and DV. The form has been adapted by NSVU for MV but is not used by PSG for MV. It is debateable whether issues pertaining to IVC clearance are matters for Vetting or HR/DPS. Removal of IVC would be of little use if the person is suspended and would seem bureaucratic if other management intervention is in place.  It is very however very relevant to CTC/SC/DV/MV/EMV.		
7.7.2	All police and support staff are made aware of their responsibilities for informing vetting personnel of any change in	M	Y	Agreed	Head of Vetting Unit	April 2009

Ref.	Recommendation	Risk	Agreed	Management Response	Responsibility	Target Date
	circumstances that may affect their clearance status.					
7.8	The use of this enhanced security clearance level ceases until its status is further clarified and its use is formally approved at national level.	M	N	This is a misunderstanding. It is and always has been ACPO NVP that all staff undergoing SC undergo MV first. SC entitles staff to access Secret and, occasionally, Top Secret material. Previously it has been MPS practice for Non Police Personnel to undergo IVC prior to SC. However, previously, NSVU only applied NSV and no additional Force Vetting checks, this was identified as a risk.  Thus NSVU 'enhanced' the check as per ACPO NVP and topped up the NPPV IVC for our own staff to deal with the misconception that SC, which is a NSV vetting level and involves no examination of police non-conviction databases, is sufficient to access Secret and Top Secret 'Police' information and assets such as SCD 7/8 and DPS material.	DCS SO15	N/A
7.10	In setting the Key Performance indicators for the new amalgamated unit, the Unit Head:	М				
	Sets and agrees in liaison with Key Stakeholders performance targets for processing security clearances within a		Y	Agreed.	Head of Vetting Unit	3 Months from the date of amalgamation

Ref.	Recommendation	Risk	Agreed	Management Response	Responsibility	Target Date
	<ul> <li>Exception reports are issued to Management/Vetting Board on a regular basis highlighting any area where the target has not been met.</li> <li>Appropriate and prompt action is taken to address any area of</li> </ul>		Y	This will be included in the Vetting Strategy placed before Management Board.	DCS SO15 Head of Vetting Unit  Head of Vetting Unit	3 Months from the date of amalgamation  Ongoing
7.11	under-performance. The central vetting unit review and revise the system in place for capturing information in respect of staff leavers and dismissals and for updating records.	M	Y	Agreed	Head of Vetting Unit	April 2009. Delayed due to non amalgamation.
7.12.1	Backup tapes are held off site.	М	Y	Agreed	Head of Vetting Unit	April 2009. These are now held in Tower Block NSY.
7.12.2	Reports are produced from the systems to show any deletions, additions and changes to records and reports are reviewed by an independent person.	M	Y	Agreed	Head of Vetting Unit	Work in progress. This requires an amendment to the IT systems.
7.13	Procedures for the storing and retention of files and for ensuring compliance with the Data Protection	M	Y	Agreed	Head of Vetting Unit	October 2009

Ref.	Recommendation	Risk	Agreed	Management Response	Responsibility	Target Date
	Act are established and are followed by both units.					
8.1	When the units amalgamate, management report requirements are identified and timetables for completion are introduced. The management reports should then be regularly reviewed and follow up action taken as appropriate and recorded.	M	Y	Agreed	Head of Vetting Unit	3 Months from the date of amalgamation