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Joint Foreword by the Chair of the Metropolitan Police Authority and the Commissioner of the Metropolitan Police Service

The Metropolitan Police Service (MPS) has been policing London for 175 years. Since our establishment in 1829 the demands, expectations and complexities of policing the capital have changed dramatically. The widening mission for the police service and the Metropolitan Police Authority (MPA), now spans reassurance and tackling serious crime and dealing with security and counter-terrorism issues. This is in addition to the traditional staples of policing such as dealing with robbery, burglary, auto crime, traffic offences and assaults.

In this, the fifth joint annual Policing and Performance Plan, we remain committed to ensuring that the needs of every community are reflected in the way that we police London. We have sought your views and concerns to inform the priorities contained in this plan in order to meet your needs. Your views will also inform the forthcoming 3-year corporate strategy. You have asked us to target anti-social behaviour, low-level crime, visibility, police numbers and reassurance as being of particular concern to you. We will tackle these issues through our Safer Neighbourhoods Programme while also targeting prolific offenders, particularly those whose crimes are fuelled by drugs. You also shared our concerns, however, that this should not be at the expense of tackling more serious and violent crime or the threat of terrorism.

We have achieved much over the past year. Burglary, street and motor vehicle crime are all down for the second successive year, fear of crime is down and public confidence is up. Indeed, London is experiencing its lowest burglary rate for 29 years and the number of robbery victims has reduced by 18,000 during the past three years. We have been effective, but to continue to be so, we need to become more efficient. We must use money and resources differently to successfully and competently meet your expectations and the increasingly challenging performance targets. We are undertaking a comprehensive Service Review which will help us find these ways of doing business and will improve performance, efficiency and the capability to deliver. The new Central Operations Command will have an integral role in ensuring the MPS assets are best deployed to fully meet these needs.

For the first time in our history, we have maintained in excess of 30,000 police officers and have nearly 2,000 Police Community Support Officers (PCSOs). This expansion has brought huge benefit to those people who live, visit or work in the capital. The MPA and MPS are both determined to provide the best police service to the people of London. The objectives, targets and
measures set in this plan will enable us to continue to meet the changing demands and future challenges of policing the capital effectively. We intend to achieve this by working together with our communities and partners to make London the safest major city in the world.

Introduction

The MPA oversees the MPS. Its mission is to secure an effective, efficient and fair police service for London’s communities. Members of the Authority, who are appointed for four years, scrutinise and support the work of the MPS. The Authority sets annual priorities and targets for the MPS and monitors performance against these to secure best value.

As part of its duties the MPA seeks to listen to and engage with Londoners. This consultation process informs policing priorities and the way London is policed. This is the Policing and Performance Plan for April 2005 – March 2006 drawn from this consultation process. The plan describes the arrangements for policing London over the year ahead and gives details of the:

- Priorities and performance targets for 2005/06
- Past performance for 2004/05
- Funding including the use of resources, and
- Work to support continuous improvement

This plan has been divided into three sections. The first part, supported with some background information, describes the plans, priorities and targets for 2005/06. The second part describes these priorities, measures and targets in more detail, while the third sets out the performance for the past year.
Part A
Policing Plan for 2005/06

Overview of the Priorities

An extensive planning process that is described in detail in the following pages has identified a number of policing priorities for the coming year. Each has a clear objective, against which we intend to measure and monitor performance. They are shown below, categorised under three themes:

Protecting the capital against terrorism
- To minimise the risk from terrorist activity and to maintain an effective response to terrorist incidents

Reducing serious and organised crime
- To reduce the level of gun enabled crime
- To disrupt organised criminal networks, the activities they are involved in and to seize their assets in order to reduce harm in neighbourhoods and communities

Promoting safety and reassurance
- To improve neighbourhood safety
- To improve our contribution to the Criminal Justice System
- To improve the citizen experience in their contacts with the police
- To reduce the level of violence and increase the number of violent offenders brought to justice

The following are cross cutting priorities that are incorporated within each of the themes:
- Diversity
- Women’s safety and the delivery of police services to women
- Prolific and other priority offenders

Setting the Policing Priorities for London

Before any priorities can be set, we have to take account of policing issues at national, London-wide and local levels. This year’s expectations are shown below and have been fed into the MPA and MPS planning process.
National Policing Priorities

The National Policing Plan sets the government’s national priorities for all police services. The Home Secretary prioritised five areas, which are summarised below. Counter-terrorism has been included as an ‘overarching imperative’:

- Reduction of overall volume crime – including violent and drug-related crime
- Provision of a citizen focused police service
- Targeting of prolific and priority offenders
- Reducing people’s concerns about crime and anti-social behaviour and disorder
- Combating serious and organised crime

These priorities are measured or assessed by:

- The National Policing Performance Assessment Framework (PPAF), which is designed to measure performance against the government priorities. This is an effective and fair way of measuring, comparing and assessing strategic performance across a range of policing responsibilities. All the PPAF measures are contained within the MPS priorities
- A comparator group devised by the Home Office for each police service based on geographic, demographic and socio-economic factors that are reasonably related to levels of crime. The comparator group is called Most Similar Forces (MSF). The MSF compared with the MPS are Merseyside, West Midlands, West Yorkshire and Greater Manchester Police
- The annual British Crime Survey (BCS), which records all crime information experienced by randomly selected interviewees. It asks about the experience of people who have been victims of crime and as a consequence does not cover all crime reported to police. The BCS only differentiates between forces and does not include information relating to local boroughs
- Her Majesty’s Inspectorate of Constabulary (HMIC) is an independent body whose role is to inspect and report on the performance and efficiency of police forces in England, Wales and Northern Ireland. It produces a high level statement of each force’s relative strengths and weaknesses

London-wide Policing Priorities

Priorities set by the Mayor

In addition to the national priorities London’s Mayor has also identified a number of specific issues for the MPA to address. These have been submitted to us as follows:

- To increase the numbers of police officers and PCSOs, while at the same time accelerating progress towards the target of 30% black and minority ethnic officers and 25% women officers, and plans for the deployment of additional officers
• The expansion of the Safer Neighbourhoods programme providing reassurance and working with Londoners to tackle anti-social behaviour and improve quality of life
• To free up police officers for front-line duty by, where appropriate, using police staff for back room posts covered by police officers
• The implementation of plans that increase the safety and security of women, and the manifesto proposals on domestic violence and hate crime
• The manifesto proposals on a real time reporting system and the targeting of police resources
• Other initiatives designed to deliver improvements in public confidence in policing for all London’s communities and improve all Londoners’ feelings of safety and security

Priorities set by the public

Public consultation is a vital aspect of the annual planning process. Both the MPA and MPS have consulted widely. The findings of the consultation exercise forms part of the process for setting the policing priorities. There are three main sources of consultation; statutory partners and other groups who are regularly involved with the police, the general public and police personnel. It is acknowledged that respondents have differing perspectives of how the capital should be policed, identifying one set of priorities for their local area and a slightly different set for the capital as a whole. The following are key themes that emerged from the overall consultation process:

• Anti-social behaviour and low-level crime
• Visibility, police numbers and reassurance
• Drugs and drug related crime
• Partnership with communities and key partners
• Alcohol and alcohol related crime
• Crime reduction and prevention schemes
• Racial, homophobic and other hate crime
• Reporting and response times
• Youth crime and other youth issues
• Street crime
• Violent and gun crime
• Terrorism
• Burglary
• Safe public transport
• Prosecution and criminal justice process
Local Policing Priorities

Borough Operational Command Units have local priorities, which are informed by Crime and Disorder Reduction Partnerships (CDRPs). These are developed in conjunction with local key partners. The main borough objectives arising from this year’s CDRP consideration includes:

- Anti-social behaviour
- Street crime
- Burglary
- Drugs (and alcohol)
- Preventing young people from entering drug and alcohol related crime
- Motor vehicle crime
- Creating Safer Neighbourhoods
- Violent crime
- Hate crime
- Domestic violence
- Targeting prolific and priority offenders
- Victims of crime
- Terrorism

Developing Corporate Priorities

All of the issues identified have been considered in the development of the corporate priorities, as part of the annual planning process. This process includes extensive public consultation, comprehensive analysis of the identified expectations and the facilitation of discussions by senior MPA and police personnel. Due consideration is also given to the Corporate Strategic Assessment (CSA), which is a vital part of the development of the priorities. The CSA highlights national and local pressures on the MPS, identifies current concerns and informs the future direction of the service and is reviewed every 6 months. The planning process informs the selection of the priorities and establishes the information required for a budget submission to the Mayor. This submission demonstrates the link between the available budget and the delivery of the priorities. The process also supports the development of the new corporate 3-year strategy.

It has been decided to take a continuity and evolution approach to this year’s annual plan, setting out the corporate priorities under broadly the same themes as last year. They are:

- PROTECTING THE CAPITAL AGAINST TERRORISM
- REDUCING SERIOUS AND ORGANISED CRIME
- PROMOTING SAFETY AND REASSURANCE
Delivering corporate priorities

Plans to deliver the priorities are formally known as ‘control strategies’ as part of the National Intelligence Model (NIM) business planning process. NIM is ‘a model of policing’ that ensures that information is fully researched, developed and analysed to provide intelligence that senior managers can use to:

- Provide strategic direction
- Make tactical resourcing decisions about policing issues and
- Manage risk

Part B of this plan describes these in greater detail and also contains a summary of the business group level priorities. These business group priorities relate to significant areas of MPS business that are a particular responsibility of a specialist unit or function. For example the role of the Child Abuse Investigation Command in the Specialist Crime Directorate is to safeguard children and young people from physical, sexual and emotional abuse. It will continue to work together with partners to prevent and detect child abuse, including homicide and to target predatory paedophiles including those who operate on the Internet.

Supporting the Priorities

The MPS has developed a comprehensive framework, which supports the priorities to enable them to be successfully delivered. Each priority has the following elements:

- Governance – A named senior police officer or member of police staff who has responsibility to deliver the priority
- Problem Profile – Produced by a crime analyst, it provides an intelligence profile of the issue
- Risk Management – Corporate training has been delivered to ensure as far as possible that all potential risks have been identified, impact assessed and detailed in a risk register. This process will assist in developing a system of internal control and risk management throughout the MPS
- Equality Impact Assessment – To assist staff in assessing the likely impact of the delivery plans for each priority, to prevent or limit any adverse effects
- Action Plans – Demonstrate the activities that will be undertaken to achieve the priorities. Included within the action plans are contributions from all of the support departments
- Resource Management – A nominated lead, usually a business manager, is appointed to ensure that sufficient resources are in place to underpin the additional activity required to deliver the targets within each priority
The MPS is delivering a number of ongoing corporate programmes and initiatives to support the priorities and meet the government’s agenda on modernising police services:

**Step Change Programme** – Designed to deliver the joint MPA, MPS and Mayoral objective to achieve a growth in uniformed officers to 35,000 by 31 March 2008. The additional increase in resources provided by the growth of operational officers has enabled the initial rollout of 96 Safer Neighbourhood teams as described below. This programme will continue during 2005/06.

**Safer Neighbourhoods** – Dedicated MPS local teams consisting of both police officers and PCSOs, who work together with the local community to tackle crime, disorder and anti-social behaviour. The minimum staffing levels for each team will be 1 police sergeant, 2 police constables and 3 PCSOs who will not be required to perform other duties. Safer Neighbourhood teams will work within designated geographical areas.

**Citizen Focus** – The Home Office has set out five key strands to be taken forward as part of the Citizen Focus Policing Programme. These strands incorporate the broad objectives under the Police Reform Programme. They are:

- Improving the experience of policing for those who come into contact with the police including the introduction of a Quality of Service Commitment
- Neighbourhood policing
- Effective engagement with the public
- Public input into how they are policed and a statutory minimum requirement for what information each household can expect to receive on local policing
- Organisational and cultural change to bring about increasingly responsive services, where feedback from frontline staff and the public is used to continuously improve performance

**Strategic Change Portfolio** – These are strategic change initiatives that the Commissioner’s Senior Management Team (SMT) have decided will make a significant contribution to the delivery of the priorities. Each initiative has deliverable objectives and benefits that will assist in improving performance within the MPS. The portfolio is constantly reviewed and as new strategic issues emerge, consideration is given to implementing further change initiatives in support of the MPS priorities.

**Transition Programme** – The aim is to develop a new 3-year corporate strategy, which will be published in draft in September 2005. The strategy will contain longer-term outcomes and demonstrate links with the priorities, future planning and strategic projects within the MPS. This will include the following areas:

- The establishment of a new Central Operational Command that has an integral role in supporting the delivery of the control strategies
• The identification of new processes for the Commissioner’s SMT to oversee performance improvement, including the application of the NIM
• The re-alignment of part of the Deputy Commissioner’s Command in support of the Commissioner’s SMT
• The scoping and then undertaking of a Service Review to identify where resources can be re-directed or used more efficiently in support of the priorities
• The development, in conjunction with the MPA, of a 3-year plan, including alignment with budgets and the integration of resources re-directed as a consequence of the Service Review
• Ensuring that the existing change portfolio is aligned with the emerging corporate strategy

Service Review

An internally led Service Review is being undertaken under the direction of the MPA to examine every function in the MPS, with the exception of the Safer Neighbourhoods teams. The comprehensive review will take a critical look at the functions of every unit and consider their contribution to the mission of the Service. It will also consider those units that undertake similar roles in order to avoid unnecessary duplication. This review will establish alternative working patterns and will define the future structure of the MPS. It will also drive the improvement of performance, efficiency and the capability to deliver. It is anticipated that this process will identify suitable areas for more detailed examination using a best value approach.

Service Improvement Review

The review into the security guarding of MPS premises will be completed in summer 2005. The Service Review will then inform the timetable for future reviews.

Internal Inspections

The MPS has a structured approach to internal inspection. It has a dedicated Inspectorate that examines strategic activities, a number of inspection/review units that concentrate on a topic or issue and a self-assessment programme of key risk areas. Many of the inspection areas are identified through performance monitoring and commissioned by SMTs.

All inspection activity is co-ordinated through a central unit that provides support, facilitates the inspection and review process, provides timely and reliable information for action and monitors progress of recommendations. By retaining an overview of the inspection activity in this way ‘over inspection’ is reduced and resources are focused where improvement is needed most, in line with best value principles.
Morris Inquiry

The Morris Inquiry was set up by the MPA to consider professional standards and employment matters in the MPS. The inquiry published its findings in December 2004 after a detailed investigation, lasting almost a year. The inquiry panel heard from numerous witnesses, examined detailed submissions and conducted a staff survey in which MPS personnel were given the opportunity to participate. As a result of this engagement the inquiry panel made a number of recommendations to improve the way the MPS operates, including some that have national implications.

The report and recommendations are currently being considered by the MPA. The MPS Modernisation Team will have the responsibility for the managed implementation of agreed recommendations submitted by the MPA. The MPS has recognised that there are areas where improvements need to be made and have begun to make progress in support of the recommendations.

Training and Development

The MPS acknowledges that delivering an effective service to the people of London is dependent upon people having the right skills and knowledge to perform their roles successfully. The Directorate of Training and Development is focused on supporting and equipping both police officers and police staff with these skills, knowledge and behaviours. In addition to established training programmes the key objectives for the Directorate will be to:

- Deliver the new national programme for probationer training
- The continued development of a Leadership Academy with the introduction of a Core Leadership Development Programme
- Continued training of the extended policing family, and
- Increasing the range of e-learning

In support of these objectives, the Directorate of Training and Development has produced an annual costed training plan, which provides direction to all MPS training providers in the disciplines of design, delivery, prioritisation and evaluation of training.

The plan also outlines the proposed training activity for the MPS, broken down by the training provider and business groups and is categorised as required by the Home Office. A costed element of all training activity is included within the plan, which is calculated using the national costing model. This provides a total predicted cost of training activity broken down by individual business groups.
Planned Numbers of Staff

The recruitment of minority ethnic police officers, female police officers and PCSOs continues to be a success. Human Resource Directorate forecast that the recruitment targets for this year are on course to be met, and in some cases exceeded. The table below shows the planned numbers of staff for 2005/06.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Function</th>
<th>Police Officers</th>
<th>Police Staff including Traffic Wardens &amp; PCSOs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>White</td>
<td>All Minority Ethnic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operational</td>
<td>19,200</td>
<td>4,650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operational Support</td>
<td>2,900</td>
<td>600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organisational Support</td>
<td>1,100</td>
<td>350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>23,200</td>
<td>5,600</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: All figures are in relation to the full time equivalent and are planning assumptions.

Health and Safety Initiative

The MPS has set corporate targets to reduce all accidents by 5% and major accidents by 15% during the 2005/06 financial year. All MPS business groups will be required to take ownership of accident reduction.

Accessibility Improvements

In the last 12 months the initiative to deliver the Safer Neighbourhood teams has been rolled out across London. This will continue to be an important strand of service delivery, which greatly assists in giving improved public accessibility. Changes continue to be made to police buildings throughout the estate to improve accessibility for those with disabilities.

Race Equality Scheme

The MPS published its Race Equality Scheme in March 2002. It sets out the requirements of the legislation and the arrangements that have been put in place to meet them. Copies of the scheme can be obtained from London libraries or from the MPA and MPS websites. Please see contacts page.

The MPA has set key indicators to measure whether the MPS is successfully delivering on race equality. Both the MPA and MPS are committed to eliminate discrimination in all its forms. Although the statutory duty places a focus on race,
a strategy is being delivered that extends this to include a fully inclusive approach. This incorporates addressing issues of gender, sexual orientation, faith, disability and age.

In the coming year we will continue to ensure that:

- None of the policies adversely impacts any particular group. Every policy has now been through a process of review and equality impact assessment, and all new policies will go through this process.
- Staff are trained appropriately regarding equality issues based on role and need. This will include specific training on the Race Relations (Amendment) Act 2000 and the individual duties within it.
- The service is accessible and responsive to the diversity of people living, working or visiting London.
- Employment arrangements and processes are monitored effectively. This will take a major step forward in May when MetHR (the MPS Human Resource computer system) is developed for compliance with the scheme.

**Community Safety Accreditation Scheme**

The Police Reform Act 2002 enables the Commissioner to establish and maintain a Community Safety Accreditation Scheme (CSAS). Organisations which provide community safety patrols and satisfy a range of policy requirements may apply to become accredited. Employees of such organisations who have been trained to approved standards may then also be accredited.

Benefits include increased information sharing, the granting of limited but targeted powers and additional legislative protection for individuals. Accreditation aims to raise and maintain standards and formalise relationships within the wider policing family. It will assist those concerned to become more efficient in their role of providing public reassurance and in the prevention of crime, disorder and anti-social behaviour.

A pilot project will be implemented in Southwark Borough, so an evaluation of the scheme can be conducted to assess the following:

- Contribution and impact on community safety against agreed outcomes.
- Identification and resolution of gaps and shortcomings.
- Amendments to improve service delivery.
- Costs and risks to run a scheme compared to the benefits.

This evaluation will make recommendations to the Commissioner about the advantages of the scheme. This will enable learning opportunities to be identified before it is made available London-wide.

Presently, the MPS only accredit local council wardens on the pilot site. If the scheme gains approval to go London-wide, other local authority warden schemes will have the opportunity to join the CSAS.
The 2005/06 budget sets out the resources to meet a number of cost pressures and service developments. These have been offset in part by identified savings.

The most significant item of growth reflected in the budget relates to the continuation of the Step Change Programme with additional expenditure totalling £55 million. This provides for the full year costs of 96 Safer Neighbourhood teams established in 2004/05, the roll-out of a further 160 Safer Neighbourhood teams, together with over 100 additional police officers in the Specialist Crime and Specialist Operations Business Groups. These officers will be focused on improving neighbourhood safety and public reassurance.

Increases in police staff numbers have been included as part of the Step Change Programme. Additional increases are also required to meet specific requirements for establishing the Centralised Traffic Criminal Justice Unit, developing focused victim and witness desks and the burglary response capability within the Specialist Crime Business Group.

Major investment also continues in the development of the C3i Programme. This is the development of an integrated Command and Control Centre, that will improve facilities and bring together the 32 Local Borough Control Rooms, Telephone Operator Centres, Information Room, Special Operations Room and Central Casualty Bureau. Further capital investment of £42m is planned for 2005/06. This will be funded by Home Office grants.

**2005/06 Efficiency Plan**

The target for efficiency savings for 2004/05 was £47.3 million. This has been exceeded and is now expected to be £57 million. The Home Office has recently revised its guidance in relation to efficiency plans. In particular police authorities are now required to:

- Set revised efficiency targets of 3% of net revenue expenditure, of which at least 1.5% must be cash releasing
- Produce plans each year covering a rolling three year period

In order to meet the new target, the MPS will attempt to achieve £75m of efficiency savings in 2005/06. We are looking to deliver £60 million of these savings, through cash releasing efficiencies enabling budget reductions to be made, while the remainder will be delivered from a number of non-cash releasing initiatives including:
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- Implementation of the front line policing measure to monitor the progressive increase in resources deployed on front line duties
- Centralisation of call handling and other benefits arising from C3i
- Increased number of special constables
- Service Improvement Reviews and various other initiatives

Progress against the efficiency plan is monitored on a quarterly basis by the MPA Finance Committee.

2005/06 Revenue and Capital Expenditure Programme

The charts below depict the revenue and capital expenditure and planned revenue and capital funding for 2005/06.

* National Non-domestic Rates (NNDR) and Revenue Support Grant (RSG) are effectively a single grant in support of local authority expenditure.
Part B
Priorities and Delivery Plans

Corporate Priorities and Delivery Plans:

Objective:
To minimise the risk from terrorist activities and to maintain an effective response to terrorist incidents

Desired Outcome:
To prevent terrorist incidents whilst maintaining community confidence. To respond appropriately to a terrorist incident whilst maintaining community confidence

Measures:
Terrorist incident scene management. Suspected or actual terrorist incidents achieve rating of appropriate scene management. **Target – 90% of incident response to reach the required standard**

Percentage of other (non-Police and Criminal Evidence) stop/searches which lead to arrest by ethnicity of the person stopped. **This measure will be used to monitor community impact**

Special Branch to focus on operations countering the most serious terrorist threats to security. **Target – 70% of operations to be against priority targets**

To provide a high quality service to calls made to the Anti-Terrorist Hotline. 0800 789 321. **Target – 85% of Anti-Terrorist hotline calls to be answered within set time**

To maintain the security of the Royal Palaces and Parliamentary Estate. **Target – No intrusions to key designated areas**

To undertake a review of security at every MPS building designated as ‘mission critical’. **Target – To complete all security reviews before 31 March 2006**

Key Activities:
Work in partnership with other agencies to provide specialist protective security advice and regimes for London’s people, vulnerable communities, property (including key economic sectors) and events

Operation Rainbow – working in partnership with local communities and businesses, through intelligence led deployments, to deter and disrupt terrorist activity and provide public reassurance

To provide and develop training, guidance, specialist advice, information and awareness to the public, business, government agencies and partners, borough and other MPS colleagues covering all aspects of counter-terrorism

Objective:
To reduce the level of gun enabled crime

Desired Outcome:
Fewer recorded gun enabled crime offences; Improved detection rates for gun enabled crime; Fewer shootings; Increased confidence and reduced levels of fear; Improved community engagement and partnership working

Measures:
Life threatening crime and gun crime per 1,000 population. **Shared lead with Violence Delivery Plan – Target derived from level of gun enabled crime**

Reduce level of gun enabled crime. **Target – 4% reduction**

Improve detection rate for gun enabled crime. **Target – sanction detection rate 20%**

Key Activities:
Utilising prevention opportunities identified in Tackling Gun Crime in London Strategy and ‘Communities that Care Audit’. Develop intervention for those at risk of criminality

Intelligence led pro-active operations targeting gun crime prolific offenders, men of violence and high gun crime neighbourhoods and wards

Making use of Automatic Number Plate Recognition (ANPR) in pro-active operations
### Part B – Priorities and Delivery Plans

#### Objective:
To disrupt organised criminal networks, the activities they are involved in and to seize their assets in order to reduce harm in neighbourhoods and communities

#### Desired Outcome:
More criminals disrupted from involvement in organised crime; increased amount of assets seized from criminal networks; improved community engagement and partnership working; greater confidence in the economic well being of London; increased reassurance within neighbourhoods and communities; disrupting the availability of illicit drugs; improved understanding of the harm caused by criminal networks

#### Measures:
- The value of assets identified by court order for seizure. **Target – £5 million**
- The number of disruptions to organised criminal networks. **Target – 50 networks disrupted**
- The number of cases where assets are restrained or cash seized. **New measure – Baseline to be established 2005/06**

#### Notes:
To disrupt organised networks – disruption of organised criminal networks extends to all criminal commodities including trafficking of illicit drugs, and people

#### Key Activities:
- We are devising, with the Home Office, a way to assess harm and harm reduction within communities and neighbourhoods. This will evaluate whether interventions against organised criminal networks are reducing harm over time.
- Operation Middle Market is a joint initiative between the MPS and Her Majesties Customs and Excise aimed at the sustained disruption of middle market drug dealing in the London region.

#### Objective:
To improve neighbourhood safety

#### Desired Outcome:
To improve public satisfaction in the police service, through reassuring the public by increasing visibility and reducing crime levels, particularly those affecting local communities

#### Measures:
- Percentage of stop/searches which lead to arrest by ethnicity of the person stopped. **This measure will be used to monitor community impact**
- Using the British Crime Survey, the risk of personal crime; (b) Using the British Crime Survey, the risk of household crime. **No target set – Results will not be reported during 2005/06**
- Domestic burglaries per 1,000 households. **Target – 4% reduction**
- Vehicle crime per 1,000 population. **Target – 8% reduction**
- Number of people killed in under 30 days or seriously injured in road traffic collisions. **Target – 4% reduction**
- Number of people killed per 100 million vehicle kilometre travelled. **Target – 4% reduction**
- Using the British Crime Survey, fear of crime. **No target set – Results will not be reported during 2005/06**
- Using the British Crime Survey, perceptions of anti-social behaviour. **Target – Improved performance on 2004/05**
- Using the British Crime Survey, perceptions of local drug use/drug dealing. **Target – Improved performance on 2004/05**
- Percentage of police officer time spent on frontline duties. **Target – To achieve a rate in line with 2005/06 staffing plans**
- Reduction in 10 British Crime Survey comparator crimes, Borough Operational Command Unit target setting to produce MPS target. **Target – 5% reduction**
**Part B – Priorities and Delivery Plans**

**Measures continued:**

The number of crack house closure orders obtained and the number of crack houses closed as a consequence of the orders. **Recent legislation – Baseline measure to be obtained for 2005/06**

Sanction detections for domestic burglary. **Target – 14% sanction detection rate**

Sanction detections for vehicle crime. **Target – 6% sanction detection rate**

Reduction in the number of child casualties as per Mayor’s London Road Safety Plan. **Target – 5% reduction**

**Notes:**

Measure of 10 British Crime Survey comparator – 2005/06 is the 2nd year target of a four year Government Public Service Agreement Programme of Crime Reduction

Reduction in child casualties – Mayor of London’s target to reduce child road casualties by 50% by 2010 (Year 5-6 of a 10-year Department for Transport Programme)

**Key Activities:**

To introduce an additional 160 Safer Neighbourhood teams to the neighbourhoods and streets of London

To deploy over 100 traffic vehicles, equipped with ANPR, to work as intercept teams: to tackle criminality in partnership with local Safer Neighbourhood teams

To deploy a more visible, realistic, familiar and intelligence led policing teams with the purpose of reducing the overall level of crime in London

**Objective:**

To improve our contribution to the Criminal Justice System

**Desired Outcome:**

To increase the number of sanctioned detections, increase the total number of offences brought to justice (OBTJ) and to improve victim satisfaction in the overall criminal justice process

**Measures:**

Number of notifiable/recorded offences resulting in conviction, caution, street warning for cannabis possession, taken into consideration at court or for which a penalty notice for disorder has been issued. **Target – 13,350 monthly average**

Percentage of notifiable/recorded offences resulting in conviction, caution or taken into consideration at court. **Target – Percentage performance required to achieve MPS 2005/06 of target 160,205 offences brought to justice**

Percentage of notifiable/recorded offences resulting in charge, summons, caution or taken into consideration at court. **Target – 16.6%**

Reduce the rate of ineffective trials. **Target – 25%**

Number of outstanding warrants. **Target – 20% reduction**

Percentage of victims and witnesses who are satisfied is higher than in the baseline year 2003/04. **Target – Improved performance on 2004/05**

**Key Activities:**

Increasing OBTJ through the continued development of Case Progression Unit’s, Solicitors in stations and Witness Care Unit’s ensuring quality investigation, correct charges being laid against offenders, trial ready case papers and support for victims and witnesses within the Criminal Justice System

Increase sanctioned detections through Borough Action Plans, training for uniform sergeants and performance management

Development of the Emerald Warrants Management System, providing accurate up to date intelligence to focus operations and is accessible by every police officer
Policing and Performance Plan 2005/06

Part B – Priorities and Delivery Plans

Objective:
To improve the citizen experience in their contacts with the Police

Desired Outcome:
Improved public confidence and feeling of security; Improved satisfaction of service users; Increased public involvement in policing

Measures:
Satisfaction of victims of domestic burglary, violent crime, vehicle crime and road traffic collisions with respect:
Making contact with the police. *Target – Improved performance
Action taken by the police. *Target – Improved performance
Being kept informed of progress. *Target – Improved performance
Their treatment by staff. *Target – Improved performance
The overall service provided. *Target – Improved performance

Using the British Crime Survey, the percentage of people who think their local police do a good job. *Target – Improved performance on 2004/05 and demonstrate a measurable difference between Safer Neighbourhood areas and non-Safer Neighbourhood areas

Satisfaction of victims of racist incidents with respect to the overall service provided. *Target – Improved performance

Comparison of satisfaction for white users and users from visible minority ethnic groups with respect to the overall service provided. *Target – Improved performance

Subject Access Requests – To respond to any appropriate request for personal information with 40 days. Target – To respond within 40 days and establish baseline compliance issues

Freedom of Information Requests – To respond to any appropriate request for any other information under the Freedom of Information Act within 20 working days. Target – To respond within 20 working days and establish baseline compliance issues

Call Handling – The percentage of incoming external 999 telephone calls not answered within target time. Target – Improved performance

Call Handling – The percentage of incoming external standard telephone calls not answered within target time. Target – Improved performance

Police Response – Percentage of ‘I’ graded incidents attended within target time – 12 minutes. Target – To maintain performance on 2004/05

Police Response – Percentage of ‘S’ graded incidents attended within target time – One Hour. Target – To maintain performance on 2004/05

Key Activities:
The development and introduction of the Quality of Service Commitment
The development of a Community Engagement Strategy
The establishment of a sustainable community focus through the deployment of greater numbers of Safer Neighbourhood teams

Objective:
To reduce the level of violence and increase the number of violent offenders brought to justice

Desired Outcome:
To reduce violence and to achieve set targets to bring offenders to justice

Measures:
Comparison of percentage detected of violence against the person offences by ethnicity of the victim. *This measure will be used to monitor performance and community impact

Violent crime per 1,000 population. *Target – 2% reduction
Robberies per 1,000 population. *Target – 2% reduction
Life threatening crime and gun crime per 1,000 population. *Shared lead with Gun Crime Delivery Plan
Part B – Priorities and Delivery Plans

Measures (cont.):

Percentage of domestic violence incidents with a power of arrest where an arrest was made related to the incident. *Target – To improve performance, month on month, towards the national average

Sanction detections for violent crime. **Target – 25% sanction detection rate

Grievous bodily harm and actual bodily harm (excluding domestic violence and hate crime) per 1,000 population. **Target – 4% reduction

Sanction detections for grievous bodily harm and actual bodily harm (excluding domestic violence and hate). **Target – 15% sanction detection rate

Sanction detections for all robbery. **Target – 12% sanction detection rate

Total detection rate for rape. **Target – 38% total detection rate

Sanction detection rate for rape. **Target – 27% sanction detection rate

Total detection rate for racist crime. **Target – 36% total detection rate

Sanction detection rate for racist crime. **Target – 21% sanction detection rate

Total detection rate for homophobic crime. **Target – 37% total detection rate

Sanction detection rate for homophobic crime. **Target – 22% sanction detection rate

Total detection rate for domestic violence. **Target – 60% total detection rate

Sanction detection rate for domestic violence. **Target – 27% sanction detection rate

To establish accurately the level of knife enabled crime and knife possession in public places across London (supported by the range of activities within Operation Blunt – MPS response to knife crime)

Notes:

Violent crime per 1,000 population – This target is challenging as the MPS also has a 25% sanction detection rate for violent crime

Domestic violence incidents with a power of arrest – A complex measure to record accurately and a baseline is being developed. An action plan will be in place to ensure that a target will be set before summer 2005

Sanction detection rate for racist, homophobic and domestic violence crime – The difference between the total and sanction detection targets is mostly based upon victim’s willingness to take these matters into the criminal justice system

Key Activities:

Project Umbra is an initiative, which tackles domestic violence. The MPS is working in partnership with a range of agencies to tackle domestic murder, rape and abuse

Project Sapphire includes project work dealing with group rape, date rape, drug assisted rape and stranger rape. Links to other national projects, e.g. Project Matise

The development of a London-wide Intelligence function will support police activity against robbery and violence. It will support the operational arm of the Territorial Policing crime squad

Business Group priorities containing high level measures and targets:

Human Resource Objective:

Becoming an employer of choice

Measures:

Proportion of police recruits from minority ethnic groups compared to proportion of people from minority ethnic groups in the economically active population. *Target – 29%

Ratio of officers from minority ethnic groups resigning to all officer resignations. *This measure will be used to monitor resignations

Percentage of female police officers compared to overall force strength. *Target – 20%
**Human Resource Objective:**
Using people effectively

**Measures:**
Average number of working hours lost per annum due to sickness per police officer.  
*Target – 0.67 days per month*

Average number of working hours lost per annum due to sickness per police staff.  
National Policing Plan specifies 9 days.  
*Target – 0.75 days per month*

**Resources Objective:**
To co-ordinate the MPS Efficiency Plan for 2005/06 and future years and to ensure the MPS delivers cashable and non-cashable efficiency savings

**Measures:**
Delivery of cashable and non-cashable efficiency targets.  
*Target – £75m (3% of net revenue expenditure – at least 1.5% must be cashable)*

**Other Business Group priorities for 2005/06**

**Specialist Crime**
1. To safeguard children and young persons from physical and sexual abuse  
2. To deliver the highest standards for homicide investigation and to prevent homicide by using disruption tactics  
3. To increase the numbers of OBTJ as a result of forensic intervention  
4. To enable the Directorate to be well managed, effective, professional and to assist in the delivery of its strategic objectives

**Specialist Operations**
1. To prevent terrorist attacks in London, to minimise major security threats in London  
2. To pursue terrorists and their means  
3. To protect the people, infrastructure and economy of London  
4. To ensure that London is prepared for current and emerging threats  
5. Key non-counter-terrorism activities

**Territorial Policing**
1. Territorial Policing will have no business group objectives additional to its corporate priorities (Neighbourhood Safety, Criminal Justice, Citizen Focus and violent crime

**Deputy Commissioner’s Command (excluding Directorate of Information)**
1. Deputy Commissioner’s Command will have no business group priorities additional to its Corporate Cross Cutting priority (Diversity)

**Deputy Commissioner’s Command (Directorate of Information)**
1. To provide a resilient call-handling service that meets MPS operational policing needs  
2. To develop and deliver a reliable, secure, affordable and integrated Information Communication Technology (ICT) environment which is increasingly agile and pervasive  
3. To lead the development of information management policy and practice to deliver more trusted, accessible and usable information to meet external control needs and satisfy the MPS demand to exploit quality data for increased policing performance  
4. To consolidate and exploit ICT and business process opportunities into effective proposals for MPS business change or national policing solutions and deliver authorised programmes  
5. To enhance MPS Information, Communication and Technology professional capability in line with business needs and strategic sourcing decisions
Cross Cutting Priorities

There are three cross cutting priorities. Each should be an integral part of the corporate delivery plans. These are strategic programmes, which are under development as part of the 3-year corporate strategy. They have been shown below with their desired outcomes:

**Diversity**
- An organisation that bases all its activity on the belief that sustainable operational success is only achievable by responding effectively to the differences in the communities and individuals we serve
- An organisation that “looks like London” and that maximises the talent and experience of all its staff
- An organisation that has the trust and confidence of all its stakeholders

**Women’s Safety and the Delivery of Police Services to Women**
- To improve the police service delivery to women as a customer group irrespective of whether they are victims, witnesses or offenders
- Reduce the number of female victims of crime; increase awareness of existing and potential safety measures together with changing women’s perceptions to the fear of crime

**Prolific and other Priority Offenders**
- To reduce crime and the harm to communities caused by the offending behaviour of those persons identified as prolific and priority offenders

Notes: *These refer to national Statutory Performance Indicators which the Home Office sets for all police forces.
Sanction detection: Charged, reported for summons or cautioned, taken into consideration by the court, or a penalty notice for disorder issued.
Part C

Performance for 2004/05

Summary of Achievements

The MPS has made a number of achievements during the past year, meeting the majority of the priorities and targets set by the MPA. It has however, been a challenging time with the introduction of new legislation, the policing of demonstrations and major events and the need to continue to maintain vigilance in combating terrorism. Below is an overview of some of the performance achievements.

- Gun enabled crime in the MPS area has fallen by 8.2% compared to April 2003 to January 2004
- The level of knife enabled crime offences is down 12.4% on the same period last year, over 1,500 fewer offences
- Total Notifiable Offences are more than 2% lower compared to April 2003 to January 2004, equating to over 20,000 fewer offences
- Residential Burglary is down 7.9% compared to April 2003 to January 2004
- The number of motor vehicle crime offences is 13.5% lower than the same period last year, over 18,000 fewer offences

Summary of Service Improvement Reviews

Two reviews have taken place during the past year. Implementation plans exist for each review, progress on which is reported to the MPA every 6 months.

Custody Capacity

This review examined a range of issues relating to MPS custody facilities. It made recommendations to achieve a better match between the demand for and the supply of custody capacity. The expected benefits of this review include:

- An improved service to all users of custody suites through a long term strategy of replacing out of date and inefficient facilities
- Better alignment of custody capacity with local requirements both now and in the future and more efficient use of custody facilities across London
- Improved service to arresting officers by reducing the number of detainees moved between boroughs
- Increased public confidence through more prompt processing of detainees
Security Clearance Processes

This review examined security clearance processes, also known as vetting, that are currently undertaken when a person applies to join the MPS. It was prompted by concerns that the time taken to complete vetting was reducing local effectiveness whilst units waited for new employees to join. The review has made proposals that will:

- Allow the MPS to adopt a more flexible approach to vetting where practical and a more rigorous approach where prudent
- Reconfigure current functions to provide a more streamlined service
- Ensure that the process is as fair and transparent as possible

Inspections by Her Majesty’s Inspectorate of Constabulary

On 14 June 2004 the HMIC published a high level statement of each police force’s relative strengths and weaknesses. This provided a baseline point against which future progress or deterioration in performance could be measured. This tool enables inspection efforts to be targeted where they are most needed.

The HMIC have graded forces using four bands of achievement:

- Excellent – Comprehensive evidence of good performance and effective processes. Low priority for inspection or in-force improvement effort
- Good – Evidence of average/above average performance and effective activity. Low priority for inspection or in-force improvement effort, but kept under review
- Fair – Evidence of effective activity covering some areas, but concerns in others. Expectation that this area will feature in the Inspection Plan, unless the force demonstrates that remedial action is planned or under way. The force would be expected to prioritise this as an area for improvement
- Poor – No or limited evidence of effective activity. It would be expected that the force acknowledges that this assessment will generate concern, unless exceptional circumstances exist, HMIC will regard this as a high priority both for in-force improvement activity and inspection
The table below shows those awarded to the MPS in a revision of the assessment process published in October 2004. The full report is available on the HMIC website. Please see contacts page for further details.

### Summary of Judgements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Judgement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Citizen Focus</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairness and Equality</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neighbourhood Policing and Community Engagement</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional Standards</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reducing Crime</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume Crime Reduction</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Working with Crime and Disorder Reduction Partnerships to Reduce Crime</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Investigating</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume Crime Investigation</td>
<td>Fair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forensic Management</td>
<td>Fair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Criminal Justice Processes</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Promoting Safety</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reassurance</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Providing Assistance</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Call Management</td>
<td>Fair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads Policing</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Resource Use</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Resource Management</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race and Diversity</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resource Management</td>
<td>Fair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Leadership and Direction</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leadership</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strategic Management</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance Management and Continuous Improvement</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The MPS has developed an action plan to address the findings of the HMIC statement. The future form of assessment will use the baseline approach as its basis, but will be more far reaching than the requirements of the HMIC assessment. This will be reported in October 2005 to fall inline with the publication of the next HMIC statement.

The HMIC will briefly check all areas during quarterly visits. Some of these will include areas that the MPS has not previously been assessed against such as customer service and accessibility, investigating major and serious crime, reducing anti-social behaviour and promoting public safety, and training and development. The order and depth of these checks will be agreed and depend on the nature of the issues identified during the assessment and ongoing monitoring of performance.

**Bichard Inquiry**

Sir Michael Bichard led an independent inquiry to examine child protection measures, record keeping, vetting and information sharing in Humberside Police and Cambridgeshire Constabularies. The results of that inquiry were published in June 2004 and contained 31 recommendations for action aimed at a national and strategic level.

The MPS supports the efforts and recommendations of the Bichard Inquiry and has welcomed this opportunity to evaluate and conduct gap analysis where appropriate. This is to establish potential areas of defect or conflict within the systems and procedures particularly around vetting. The MPS Bichard Team was established in June 2004 to share the lessons learnt, to facilitate activity throughout the MPS and ensure a co-ordinated approach to national information technology projects.

**Audit of Policing and Performance Plan 2004/05**

The Audit Commission concluded that the 2004/05 plan was compliant with legislative requirements, except for the quality of performance information. Consequently, they reserved opinion on some of the best value performance indicators (BVPIs).

Although there were notable improvements in the MPA’s co-ordination arrangements for the production of BVPIs, the Audit Commission recommended that members should prioritise action to ensure that timely, accurate and complete information is available to support all the statutory BVPIs in the plan.

**Initial MPA Response**

The Audit Commission published its findings in December 2004. The MPA and MPS have since met with the Audit Commission to discuss the best way to continue ongoing improvements. An action plan has been developed to address the issues raised by the Audit Commission.
## Objectives, Targets, Measures and Current Performance Against 2004/05 Priorities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measures</th>
<th>2003/04 Performance Year</th>
<th>2004/05 Target</th>
<th>2004/05 Performance Year To Date</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Objective 1: To minimise the risk to life and property from terrorist activity in London</strong>&lt;br&gt;<strong>PP</strong> Number of quality intelligence reports (Monthly Average)</td>
<td>653</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>867</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PP</strong> Appropriate number of counter-terrorism deployments (Monthly Average)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Objective 2: To maintain an effective response to suspected and actual terrorist incidents</strong>&lt;br&gt;<strong>PP</strong> Percentage of terrorist scenes managed to a good or very good standard</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PP</strong> Once tasked, to attend suspected or actual terrorist scene within set target – first officer at scene in 12 minutes</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Objective 3: To reduce the level of gun enabled crime</strong>&lt;br&gt;<strong>PP</strong> Reduce the number of gun enabled crime offences</td>
<td></td>
<td>4% reduction</td>
<td>8.2% reduction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PP</strong> Detection rate for gun enabled crime</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Objective 4: To disrupt organised criminal activity of persons identified as Class A drugs suppliers</strong>&lt;br&gt;<strong>PP</strong> The number of drug trafficking organisations disrupted</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>12 per annum</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PP</strong> The number of proactive operations against open drugs markets</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td>Work is ongoing to set up data collection arrangements for this measure as the information is not gathered by the MPS’s automated data collection systems. However, operations against open drug markets have been ongoing in the boroughs throughout the year.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PP</strong> The number of proactive operations against crack houses</td>
<td>489</td>
<td>538</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>The figure given for 2004/05 is for five boroughs (Lambeth, Haringey, Camden, Hackney and Southwark). However operations against crack houses have been ongoing in all boroughs throughout the year.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measures</td>
<td>2003/04 Performance Year</td>
<td>2004/05 Target</td>
<td>2004/05 Performance Year To Date</td>
<td>Comment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPI 6c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The number of Class A drug supply offences brought to justice per 10,000 population; of these the percentage each for cocaine and heroin supply - sanctioned detections for Class A offences used as a proxy (December)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Of those, proportion for heroin and cocaine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Heroin – 26% Cocaine - 32%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Objective 5: To dismantle organised criminal networks and seize their assets**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PP</th>
<th>Value of assets recovered (Economic and Specialist Crime Directorate only)</th>
<th>N/A</th>
<th>More than £8m</th>
<th>£20.4m</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PP</td>
<td>The number of organised criminal networks disrupted (per month)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Objective 6: To safeguard children and young persons from physical and sexual abuse**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PP</th>
<th>Detection rate in allegations of intra-familial abuse</th>
<th>35%</th>
<th>49%</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PP</td>
<td>Number of arrests of subjects identified by Child Protection Intelligence Unit (Monthly Average)</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP</td>
<td>Detection rate for murders investigated by Child Protection Murder Teams</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>All cases are sensitively and compassionately investigated bearing in mind suspects come from within the family. This can lead to difficulties in obtaining evidence in the small numbers of cases investigated</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Objective 7: To improve neighbourhood safety**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PP</th>
<th>Percentage satisfied with the way their neighbourhood is policed (Quarterly survey results)</th>
<th>55%</th>
<th>47%</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PP (1)</td>
<td>Visible uniformed operational police hours</td>
<td>5% increase</td>
<td>3.5% increase</td>
<td>There was no prior data as a guideline when the target was set during 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP</td>
<td>Percentage who think crime has increased/decreased in neighbourhood</td>
<td>No baseline data</td>
<td>33% think crime has increased in their neighbourhood, while 5% think it has decreased</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measures</td>
<td>2003/04 Performance Year</td>
<td>2004/05 Target Year To Date</td>
<td>2004/05 Performance Year To Date</td>
<td>Comment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Objective 7: To improve neighbourhood safety (contd)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP</td>
<td>Percentage who think anti-social behaviour has decreased</td>
<td></td>
<td>No baseline data</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPI 1a</td>
<td>Satisfaction of victims of: domestic burglary, violent crime, vehicle crime, road traffic collisions with respect to <em>making contact with the police</em></td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>No baseline data</td>
<td>81.3% satisfied 46.1% completely/very</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPI 1b</td>
<td>Satisfaction of victims of: domestic burglary, violent crime, vehicle crime, road traffic collisions with respect to <em>action taken by the police</em></td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>No baseline data</td>
<td>62.3% satisfied 36.7% completely/very</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPI 1c</td>
<td>Satisfaction of victims of: domestic burglary, violent crime, vehicle crime, road traffic collisions with respect to <em>being kept informed of the progress</em></td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>No baseline data</td>
<td>47.7% satisfied 22.2% completely/very</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPI 1d</td>
<td>Satisfaction of victims of: domestic burglary, violent crime, vehicle crime, road traffic collisions with respect to <em>their treatment by staff</em></td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>No baseline data</td>
<td>86% satisfied 57.7% completely/very</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPI 1e</td>
<td>Satisfaction of victims of: domestic burglary, violent crime, vehicle crime, road traffic collisions with respect to <em>the overall service provided</em></td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>No baseline data</td>
<td>67.7% satisfied 38.5% completely/very</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP (3)</td>
<td>Percentage of people who think their local police do a good job</td>
<td></td>
<td>No baseline data</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPI 4a (3)</td>
<td>The estimated percentage risk of an adult being a victim once or more in the previous 12 months of a personal crime (excluding sexual offences)</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td></td>
<td>This data is from the British Crime Survey, and only updated annually</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPI 4b (3)</td>
<td>The estimated percentage risk of a household being a victim once or more in the previous 12 months of a household crime</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td></td>
<td>This data is from the British Crime Survey, and only updated annually</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Objective 7: To improve neighbourhood safety (contd)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measures</th>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>2003/04 Performance Year</th>
<th>2004/05 Target</th>
<th>2004/05 Performance Year To Date</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SPI 5a</td>
<td>The number of domestic burglaries per 1,000 households</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>No target set</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPI 5b</td>
<td>The number of violent crimes per 1,000 population</td>
<td>2.69</td>
<td>No target set</td>
<td>2.92</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPI 5d</td>
<td>Vehicle crime per 1,000 population</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>No target set</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPI 5e</td>
<td>Life threatening crime and gun crime per 1,000 population</td>
<td>0.078</td>
<td>No target set</td>
<td>0.079</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPI 9a</td>
<td>Number of road traffic collisions involving death or serious injury per 100 million vehicle kilometres travelled (to November 2004)</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>No target set</td>
<td>8.48</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPI 10a</td>
<td>Percentage of people who are worried about burglary</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>No target set</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>Percentage of people who are worried about car crime</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>No target set</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>Percentage of people who are worried about violence</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>No target set</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPI 10b</td>
<td>Feelings of public safety</td>
<td>No target set</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>The survey results for SPI 10a and 10b were not available for the annual period ending September 30th. Those for the year ending June 30th 2004 are given</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>Proportion of police officer time available for frontline policing</td>
<td>66.40%</td>
<td>No target set</td>
<td>68.2%</td>
<td>Data for performance year to date is a proxy based on the MPS time management system</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Objective 8: To reduce the level of robbery compared to 2003/04

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>2003/04 Performance Year</th>
<th>2004/05 Target</th>
<th>2004/05 Performance Year To Date</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PP</td>
<td>Reduction in the number of robberies</td>
<td></td>
<td>11% reduction</td>
<td>4.8% reduction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP (also SPI 5c)</td>
<td>Robberies per 1000 population</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>5 per 1,000</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP/ SPI 7d</td>
<td>Robbery detections</td>
<td>12.4%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>15.3%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Objective 9: To improve our contribution to the effectiveness of the criminal justice system

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measures</th>
<th>2003/04 Performance Year</th>
<th>2004/05 Target</th>
<th>2004/05 Performance Year To Date</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PP</td>
<td>Percentage of total notifiable offences detected</td>
<td>15.40%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>20.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP</td>
<td>Arrest warrants outstanding (December only)</td>
<td>14,313</td>
<td>13,415</td>
<td>13,719</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP</td>
<td>Victim satisfaction <em>(Same as SPI 1e)</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>67.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPI 6a</td>
<td>The number of offences brought to justice for total notifiable offences</td>
<td>10,877</td>
<td>11,531</td>
<td>12,074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPI 6b</td>
<td>The offences brought to justice rate for total notifiable offences</td>
<td>12.30%</td>
<td>No target set</td>
<td>13.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPI 7a</td>
<td>The sanctioned detection rate for total notifiable offences</td>
<td>12.7% (134,580 sanction detections)</td>
<td>No target set</td>
<td>14.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPI 7b</td>
<td>The detection rate for domestic burglaries</td>
<td>10.6%</td>
<td>No target set</td>
<td>13.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPI 7c</td>
<td>The detection rate for violent crime</td>
<td>23.01%</td>
<td>No target set</td>
<td>36.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPI 7e</td>
<td>The detection rate for vehicle crime</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
<td>No target set</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Objective 10: To recognise and respond appropriately to the differential impact of crime on people taking into account their race, gender, sexual orientation, faith, age or disability

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measures</th>
<th>2003/04 Performance Year</th>
<th>2004/05 Target</th>
<th>2004/05 Performance Year To Date</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PP</td>
<td>The detection rate for rape offences</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP</td>
<td>The detection rate for homophobic crimes</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP</td>
<td>The detection rate for racist crimes</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP</td>
<td>The detection rate for domestic violence</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>52.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP (also SPI 3a)</td>
<td>Percentage of victims of racist crime who are satisfied or very satisfied with police performance</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>60.1% satisfied 29.9% completely/very</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPI 3b</td>
<td>Comparison of satisfaction for white users and Visible Ethnic Minority users with respect to overall performance (April to September 2004)</td>
<td></td>
<td>White: 70% satisfied 42% completely/very</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPI 3c</td>
<td>Percentage of Police and Criminal Evidence stop/searches of white people which lead to arrest</td>
<td>10.1%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPI 3c</td>
<td>Percentage of Police and Criminal Evidence stop/searches of Visible Ethnic Minority people which lead to arrest</td>
<td>10.5%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPI 3d</td>
<td>The sanction detection rate of violence against the person offences where the victim is white (November)</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>15.4%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPI 3d</td>
<td>The sanction detection rate of violence against the person offences where the victim is visible Ethnic Minority (December)</td>
<td>10.4%</td>
<td>10.9%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPI 8a</td>
<td>Percentage of domestic violence incidents with a power of arrest where an arrest was made related to the incident</td>
<td>20.80%</td>
<td>18.8%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The codes in the first column relate to the indicators set nationally.
Further Copies and Other Languages

This is the Metropolitan Police Authority's Policing and Performance Plan for April 2005 to March 2006 for the Metropolitan Police Service. The plan can be made available in Braille, audiotape, large print and in these languages.

Arabic

هذه خطة شرطة لندن MPA لحفظ الأمن والأداء للفترة ما بين أبريل/نيسان 2005 و مارس/آذار 2006 و الخاصة بشرطة لندن. يمكن الحصول على هذه الخطة مكتوبة بطريقة "بريل" أو على أشرطة صمعة أو بالعرق القليلة أو بهذه اللغة.

Bengali

এই হলো ২০০৫ সালের এপ্রিল থেকে ২০০৬ সালের মার্চ পর্যন্ত মেট্রোপলিটন পুলিসের কাজ এর মেট্রোপলিটন পুলিস অফিসার লিস্টিং এর পাশাপাশি ভাষা (হুইল, শুধু ও শর্তিক) এবং কেন্দ্র মন্ত্রণালয় পরিকল্পনা। এই ভাষা, বড় জাপানি, বেলে-এ যুবক এবং নেপালি জাতিসভা, এই পরিকল্পনার প্রক্রিয়া করা হয়েছে।

Chinese

这是首都警察局为首都警局制定于2005年4月至2006年3月的警察服务及工作表现计划。我们亦可以提供这份计划的凸字、录音带、大字本及中文版。

Croatian


Greek

Αυτό είναι το πρόγραμμα αστυνομίας και απόδοσης της Μητροπολιτικής Αστυνομικής Αρχής για την περιόδο από τον Απρίλιο 2005 μέχρι το Μάρτιο 2006 σχετικά με την Υπηρεσία της Μητροπολιτικής Αστυνομίας. Αυτό το πρόγραμμα μπορεί να διατεθεί σε Μαραγά, σε κασέτα, σε γράμματα μεγάλου μεγέθους και σε αυτή τη γλώσσα.

Gujarati

આ મેટ્રોપોલિટન પુલિસ અભિયાની મેટ્રોપોલિટન પુલિસ અદલાલા અબરેની ઓક્ટોબર 2005થી અને 2006 ગુલ્લા પુલિસ ના કામન અને કાન્નાની માંગ પામી હતી. આ વઢવાના કાબ્બ્યું, વિશ્વ અને પૂર્વ અને વધુ અંદાજીઓ ચેકી અને આ અંદાજી સાથે મળી હતી.

Hindi

यह मेट्रोपोलिटन पुलिस अभियान है। मेट्रोपोलिटन पुलिस सर्विस के लिए, अप्रैल 2005 से मार्च 2006 तक के समय के लिए, यह पुलिस के कामों और कानूनी मांगों का योजनापत्र है। यह जानकारी अपने ब्रिटिश, ऑस्ट्रेलियन टेलिविजन पर, वही अगर में और इस भाषा में भी मिल सकती है।

Punjabi

یہ مہترپولٹن پولیس ایئر ہے جو مہترپولٹن پولیس سریوں کے لئے، اپریل 2005 سے مارچ 2006 تک کے لئے اس پولیس کے کاموں اور قانونی مطالبوں کا پیشہ ہے۔ اس پیشہ ایک ٹیلی ویژن، امریکی اسپورٹس اور دیگر اسپورٹس کی پیشہ ہے۔

Turkish

Bu, Metropolitan Polis İdaresi’nin (Metropolitan Police Authority) Nisan 2005 ile Mart 2006 arasındaki Metropolitan Polis Servisi (Metropolitan Police Service) için polis hizmetleri ve performans planıdır. Plan, körler için Braile alfabetesiyle, ses kaseti şeklinde, büyük punto harflerle ve Türkçe olarak taşınabilir.
Contacts

How to Contact Us

We welcome feedback and if you have any comments to make about the plan they should be sent to:

The Chief Executive and Clerk, Metropolitan Police Authority, 10 Dean Farrar Street, London, SW1H 0NY.

You may also e-mail us at: enquiries@mpa.gov.uk

Further copies of the Policing and Performance Plan can be obtained from the MPA by contacting the e-mail address shown above, contacting us on 0207 202 0202, or by writing to:

The Director of Communications, Metropolitan Police Authority, 10 Dean Farrar Street, London, SW1H 0NY.

Consultation Opportunities

The Authority holds regular meetings with the people who live and work in London about policing. Details of these can be found in main libraries, on the MPA internet site or by ringing us on 0207 202 0202.

If you would like to take part in the consultation for the next years plan you can register via the link on the home page of the MPS website.

Internet Addresses

The internet address for the:

Metropolitan Police Authority is www.mpa.gov.uk

Metropolitan Police Service is www.met.police.uk

Her Majesty’s Inspectorate of Constabulary is www.homeoffice.gov.uk/hmic