Appendices

<u>Appendix 1</u> Domestic Violence – Sutton statistics

Appendix 2
Report into the death of (victims name)

Time period covered: 01 April 2006 - 31 March 2007

Sutton

Domestic Violence Report: For the MPA Domestic Violence Board		
	01/04/06-31/03/07	Source
Overview		
Domestic Violence Incidents	2117	Metstats
Domestic Violence Offences	934	Metstats
Domestic Violence Sanction Detection Rate	63.2%	Metstats
Domestic Violence Detection Rate	63.3%	Metstats
Repeat Victimisation - searched over 3 years for data accuracy		
Number of victims of DV over <i>last three years</i>	3172	FBOC Q: Incidents12month
Number of repeat victims of domestic violence over <i>last three years</i>	917	FBOC Q: dv repeat victims
% Repeat victims of all victims	29%	N/A
Average number of offences per repeat victim over three years	2.6	FBOC Q: dv repeat victims
Arresting		
Domestic Violence Arrest rate	51.7%	Metstats
Criminal Justice		
Number of DV Charges	255	FBOC Q: DVCharges
Number of DV Charges for GBH & above	11	FBOC Q: DVChargesGBHup
Characteristics of DV case		
Number of DV cases flagged as forced marriage	0	FBOC Q: ForcedMarriage12m
Number of survivors identified as having mental health issues	8	FBOC Q: MHealthVictims
Number of DV homicides in last twelve months	0	FBOC Q: Incidents12month

Sutton - victims by ethnicity 01 March 2006 to 28 February 2007

	Asian	Black	Chinese & other	Mixed	Unknown	White
ABH	13	15	8	3	167	216
Burglary in a Dwelling	0	0	0	0	1	0
Common Assault	17	10	3	3	90	138
Criminal Damage To a Dwelling	1	2	1	0	35	17
Criminal Damage To M/V	0	0	0	0	7	10
Criminal Damage To Other Bldg	0	0	0	0	0	1
GBH	0	0	0	0	11	9
Handling Stolen Goods	0	0	0	0	1	0
Harassment	3	0	1	1	33	33
Offensive Weapon	0	0	0	0	2	0
Other Criminal Damage	0	0	0	0	11	9
Other Notifiable	0	0	0	0	8	10
Other Sexual	0	0	0	0	0	2
Others - Other Accepted Crime	5	5	1	4	75	78
Other Theft	0	0	0	0	1	1
Other Violence	0	0	0	0	7	4
Possession Of Drugs	0	0	0	0	3	0
Rape	1	0	0	0	1	2
Sum:	40	32	14	11	453	530

Sutton - victims by age 01 March 2006 to 28 February 2007

	Under 16	17-25	26-40	41-55	56+
ABH	16	127	206	85	21
Burglary in a Dwelling	0	1	0	0	0
Common Assault	16	69	128	52	23
Criminal Damage To a Dwelling	13	15	26	7	1
Criminal Damage To M/V	0	6	7	6	0
Criminal Damage To Other Bldg	0	1	0	0	0
GBH	2	6	12	4	0
Handling Stolen Goods	0	0	0	1	0
Harassment	1	28	30	12	3
Offensive Weapon	0	1	0	1	0
Other Criminal Damage	5	6	3	6	0
Other Notifiable	1	5	7	6	0
Other Sexual	0	0	1	1	0
Others - Other Accepted Crime	1	56	82	31	5
Other Theft	0	0	2	0	0
Other Violence	3	4	7	1	0
Possession Of Drugs	1	0	2	1	0
Rape	0	1	2	1	0
Sum:	59	326	515	215	53

Appendix 1

						Appendix 1
Month-	Domestic	Domestic	Domestic	Domestic	Domestic Incidents	Domestic
Year	Incidents			Detections	(ACPO)	Incidents -
i c ai	Incluents	Offerices	303	Detections	(ACPO)	Arrest Made
Apr 1997						
May 1997						
Jun 1997						
Jul 1997						
Aug 1997						
Sep 1997						
Oct 1997						
Nov 1997						
Dec 1997						
Jan 1998						
Feb 1998						
Mar 1998						
Apr 1998						
May 1998						
Jun 1998						
Jul 1998						
Aug 1998						
Sep 1998						
Oct 1998						
Nov 1998						
Dec 1998						
Jan 1999						
Feb 1999						
Mar 1999						
Apr 1999	91	47	8	30		
May 1999	123	83	7	32		
Jun 1999	110	86	8	33		
Jul 1999	165					
Aug 1999	137	98	13	42		
Sep 1999	138	104	10	47		
Oct 1999	143	99	9	29		
Nov 1999	133	88	7	20		
Dec 1999	116	66	3	21		
Jan 2000	131	74	9	29		
Feb 2000	95	65	11	21		
Mar 2000	111			23		
Apr 2000	111	67	8	21		
May 2000	101			31		
Jun 2000	115	78	9	24		
Jul 2000	114					
Aug 2000	86					
Sep 2000	100	66				
Oct 2000	97	4		4		
Nov 2000	117					
Dec 2000	108	4	5	4		
Jan 2001	103	4				
Feb 2001	101	58				
Mar 2001	108	4				

Appendix 1

						Appendix 1
Month- Year	Domestic Incidents	Domestic Offences	Domestic SDs	Domestic Detections	Domestic Incidents (ACPO)	Domestic Incidents - Arrest Made
Apr 2001	125	69	8	26		
May 2001	112	80	6			
Jun 2001	113		16			
Jul 2001	152	86		26		
Aug 2001	149					
Sep 2001	125			20		
Oct 2001	124		14			
Nov 2001	124		7	19		
Dec 2001	144		15			
Jan 2002	131	77	10			
Feb 2002	142	78	13			
Mar 2002	125	61	5			
Apr 2002	198					
May 2002	177	94	21	27		
Jun 2002	165		6	14		
Jul 2002	185			23		
Aug 2002	189		14			
Sep 2002	147	77	18			
Oct 2002	173		16			
Nov 2002	150			36		
Dec 2002	194		29			
Jan 2003	163					
Feb 2003	155		25			
Mar 2003	206					
Apr 2003	254			27		
May 2003	260					
Jun 2003	255					
Jul 2003	210		9			
Aug 2003	199					
Sep 2003	239					
Oct 2003	214					
Nov 2003	224					
Dec 2003	278					
Jan 2004	244					
Feb 2004	133		18			
Mar 2004	161	75				
Apr 2004	139		16			
May 2004	172					
Jun 2004	158					
Jul 2004	153		17			
Aug 2004	163					
Sep 2004	161	76				
Oct 2004	139					
Nov 2004	129					
Dec 2004	173					
Jan 2005	172		16			
Feb 2005	162					
					<u> </u>	ı

Appendix 1

						Арреник і
Month- Year	Domestic Incidents	Domestic Offences	Domestic SDs	Domestic Detections	Domestic Incidents (ACPO)	Domestic Incidents - Arrest Made
Mar 2005	179	77	25	50		
Apr 2005	161	74	20	44		
May 2005	193	82	26	48		
Jun 2005	167	79	18	32		
Jul 2005	157	72	31	67		
Aug 2005	189	89	33	54		
Sep 2005	158	82	29	45		
Oct 2005	168	72	19	57	122	43
Nov 2005	168	82	34	60	121	52
Dec 2005	150	76	30	41	129	47
Jan 2006	154	90	30	62	126	47
Feb 2006	128	78	27	43	109	48
Mar 2006	149	83	28	83	121	50
Apr 2006	179	81	39	40	120	60
May 2006	197	83	37	37	118	60
Jun 2006	177	93	62	62	126	65
Jul 2006	187	91	84	84	145	78
Aug 2006	170	56	37	37	114	64
Sep 2006	171	65	50	50	119	51
Oct 2006	179	85	41	41	128	
Nov 2006	152	63	50	50	97	53
Jan 2007	191	99	43	43	130	
Feb 2007	177	83	50	50	102	57
Mar 2007	161	72	55	55	103	46

Multi-agency Domestic Violence Forum Murder Review Working Template

Developed by Sharon Millington, Lambeth Crime Prevention Trust and Laura Richards, Understanding and Responding to Hate Crime Team.

REPORT INTO THE DEATH OF

(Add victim's name)

(Report Produced by Borough name Domestic Violence Forum Date)

Content

Introduction	Page
Executive Summary	Page
BDVF Concluding Report	Page
Housing Report	Page
Police Report	Page
Victim Support's Report	Page
Other relevant agencies report	Page
List of Appendices	Page

INTRODUCTION

This report examines agency response and support given to (victim's name) a (borough's name) resident to the point of her death on (date of death).

Essentially this review is to establish whether any or all the agencies involved responded correctly and within their own set procedures and guidelines. Where it is found that agencies could have responded more effectively the panel will then determine whether an alternative course of action would have prevented the victim's death.

The rational for the review process is to ensure that agencies are responding appropriately to victims of domestic violence by offering and or setting in place appropriate support mechanisms that can avert future incidences of domestic murder.

Timescales

This review began in (date) and was concluded in (date). The agreed timescale for all reviews is two months.

Confidentiality

The findings of each review are confidential. Information is only privy to participating officers/ professionals and their immediate superiors and or heads of departments who have a pre-declared interest in the review.

Dissemination

(List) those who have received copies of the report.

Executive Summary

- 1. The Review Process
- 1.1 This summary outlines the process undertaken by (borough's name)

 Domestic Violence Forum in reviewing the murder of (victim's name).
- 1.2 (Suspect's name) is currently awaiting trial for (victim's) murder.
- 1.3 The process began with an initial meeting on (date) of all agencies who potentially had contact with (victim's name) prior to the point of death.
- 1.4 Agencies participating in this case review:
 - (Borough) Housing (Anti-Nuisance Team)
 - (Borough) Education (Access & Inclusion)
 - (Borough) Social Services (Children Services)
 - (Borough) Police CSU
 - (Borough) Police Child Protection Team
 - (Borough) Victim Support
 - (Borough) Refuge Managers Support Group
 - (Borough) Community Police Consultative Group
 - etc.....
- 1.5 Agencies were asked to give chronological accounts of contact with the victim prior to their death. Where there was no involvement or insignificant involvement agencies advise accordingly. Each agency's report covers varying degrees of the following:
 - Chronology of interaction with the victim/family,
 - What was done/ agreed
 - Were internal procedures followed?
 - Conclusions and recommendation from the agency's point of view.
- 1.6 The accounts of involvement with this victim cover different periods of time prior to their death. Some of the accounts have more significance than others. The extent to which the key areas have been covered and the format in which they have been presented varies across agencies.
- 1.7.1 (Number) of the (total number) agencies responded. In total (number) agencies have responded as having had no contact with either the victim, suspect or any children involved. (Name agencies).
- 1.8 (Number) have responded with information indicating some level of involvement with the victim. (Name agencies).

- 1.9 (Indicate here if an agencies contact is of no relevance to the events that lead to the death of the victim and state last record of contact and details)
- 1.10 The Police report shows that on number occasions between (dates) the Police had had contact with (victim in relation to allegations of ...name allegations and who committed by. State what the victim's wishes were at the time in terms of proceeding or withdrawing).
- 1.11 (Name agencies who) responded as having no trace of the victim, suspect or any children on their database or general registry. (State here if information has come to light showing the contrary).
- 1.12.1 State here any agencies showing contact / interaction with victim / family

2.1	
2.2	
2.3	
3.	Conclusions and Recommendations from the Review
3.1	
3 2	

Key Issues Arising From the Review.

2.

(Borough) DOMESTIC VIOLENCE FORUM CONCLUDING REPORT

Introduction

This review report is an anthology of information and facts from (number Borough) agencies all of which were potential support agencies for (victim). Essentially only (number) agencies had records of contact with (victim) before prior to their death. They are:

(State whether: The accounts of involvement with this victim cover different periods of time prior to their death. The extent to which the key areas have been covered and the format in which they have been presented varies across agencies).

(State whether any of the accounts bear any direct relation to victim's murder).

(All official records of no contact are attached in the Appendix).

Facts

- Where victim lived; where victim was murdered. Synopsis of the murder (what actually happened and how killed)
- State who else lived at the address and if children residing there, what there ages are.
- How long been living with partner *if* co-habiting. How long they have been together as a couple
- Who has been charged with murder and date of trial (of known)
- Any other relevant fact/information

Analysis

(State agency involvement and who was involved as a result of disturbances between which parties).

(State whether: The review panel is of the opinion that all agency intervention was appropriate and that agencies acted within their set procedures and guidelines).

(State whether: there is information available to the review panel that would give any clear description of parties names, relationship and the events that led to victim's murder. If there is, state what the information is and the source of the information).

Conclusions

(State whether: the panel, after thorough consideration, believes that under the circumstances agency intervention potentially could have or would not have prevented victim 's death given the information that has come to light through the review).

(State whether: the information available to this panel suggests that there were / were no recorded incidences of domestic violence between victim and offender and whether this can/cannot be conclusive).

(State whether: there was no input from a particular agency leading to limitations of the review and reason if specified).

(State: anything else that is relevant to conclusions resulting from review).

Recommendations

HOUSING REPORT

MURDER OF (VICTIM'S NAME)

Of (address, age and ethnic appearance)

Name and address of Housing Office

Tenancy Reference -

Tenancy commenced (date) is still (live)?

Other occupants – (Name, date of birth and relationship).

History of Involvement

- (When victim applied for housing and any other housing applications listed in chronological order).
- (Whether victim is on at risk house file).
- (Details of any medical problems).
- (Details about relationships and children).
- (Details of repairs undertaken in terms of locks being changed, for example).
- (Anything else that suggests victim may have been at risk).

POLICE REPORT

Introduction

This report deals with all contact Police had with (victim) for a period of (number) years prior to (his/her) murder at (his/her) at (venue address) on (date).

(State whether there was much contact with victim / family and how many times contact was made).

(State whether any CRIMINTs (criminal intelligence) / CADs (message generated by a call for assistance to police).

*****Please include a copy of CRIMINTS / CRIS / PNC records in the review**** (N.B checks should be undertaken on victims(s) and suspect(s))

Then describe events below in a chronological order:

CAD (number) on (date).

For example: Police were called to outside 25 Reinmouth Close SW8 by Frank Hope. He alleged that youths had damaged his motor vehicle with a football. He threatened to puncture the ball with a knife if police did not attend. Police attended the venue and reported a minor Criminal Damage to Mr. Bernaise's vehicle.

CAD (number) on (date).

For example: Frank Bernaise requests to meet police at 25 Reinmouth Close SW8 in order that he can give them further information regarding the incident on date(above). Police attend and update original crime report.

CAD (number) & CRIS (number) on (date).

For example: Police were called to 25 Reinmouth Close SW8 by Mrs Bernaise who wished to report an assault. She originally called police at 1052 hrs but stated she would not be home until after 1300 hrs, and a scheduled appointment was made for this time.

Police attended and reported an allegation of Common Assault on Mrs Bernaise - CRIS (number) refers. The circumstances being, at about 2200 hrs on (date) Mrs Bernaise's husband Frank Bernaise returned home to find her on the telephone to a male friend. He became jealous and called her and her sister into the bedroom. He asked her if she wanted in or out of the marriage to which she replied "out". He responded by striking her in the face and putting his hands around her throat. Her sister managed to pull Mr Bernaise off and he spent that night elsewhere.

The following morning he returned in order to collect his belongings and he told Mrs Bernaise that "this isn't finished yet".

When she reported this incident to police she stated she did not want to substantiate any allegations.

This matter was allocated to PC Terre at 1949 hrs on the day of reporting for secondary investigation. She spoke with Mrs Bernaise's sister on (date) who stated that Julie was not there but that she was fine and intended to divorce Mr Bernaise. PC Terre left her contact details and asked for Julie to contact her. The VSS (Victim Support Service) were notified of the incident on (date).

On (date), PC Terre spoke with Julie and was told that she was not intending to file for divorce as things were amicable between her and Mr Bernaise and they were sorting out arrangements with regards to the children. Mrs Bernaise declined the offer of further advice or assistance from PC Terre. She also declined to give PC Terre a contact number for Mr Bernaise. PC. Terre left her contact details for Mr Bernaise to call her if necessary however Mrs Bernaise stated she did not feel it would be.

This enquiry was marked as complete on (date).

CRIS (Number) on (date).

The above CRIS refers to a (non crime book domestic Incident) whereby Mrs Bernaise called police to report the fact that her husband Mr Bernaise had been verbally abusive towards her. She stated that he turned up at her home address and asked if he could come in and talk. She let him in and he began talking about them being separated, she made it clear to him that there was no chance of them getting back together. He began swearing at her stating that she was "messing with his life" and "that she would be sorry".

This matter was allocated to PC Terre on (date), she tried to contact Mrs Bernaise that day but got no reply. She tried again on date with the same result. PC Terre sent a letter to the victim on (date) requesting contact. Having had no reply PC Terre tried to contact Mrs Bernaise again on (date) by telephone and in person without success.

On (date) this matter was shown as complete. Mrs Bernaise never replied to PC Terre's requests for contact.

CRIS (Number) on (date)

The above report refers to an allegation of (harassment) made by Mrs Beranise against her estranged husband Mr Bernaise. This amounted to him constantly ringing her at work and home and being verbally abusive. She requested police to speak to Mr Bernaise regarding his behaviour. This matter was allocated to PC Rushbrooke on, he tried to contact Mrs Beranise. However he received no reply and left a message on her mobile phone asking her to contact him.

PC Rushbrooke tried to contact Mrs Bernaise again on (date) with the same result as before. Mrs Bernaise did return his call later that day. She spoke at length to PC Rushbrooke and decided she did not want to pursue any criminal

allegations but did intend to apply for a non-molestation order. PC Rushbrooke offered her advice regarding this and she was referred to ALIVE (Advice and Legal Help in Violent Episodes) and was given the name of Peter Bank who runs that particular agency. There being no further assistance required by Mrs Bernaise this matter was shown as complete on (date).

CAD (Number) on (date)

Police were called by Mr Bernaise who stated that two weeks ago his step daughter Maria Louis aged 7 years had told him that her mother Mrs Bernaise had hit her in the head. He also stated that Mrs Bernaise who is his wife had a male from Africa staying at the house. He had seen his stepdaughter the previous day and she looked depressed. He also expressed the same concerns for his son Bob who also resides at the same address. He stated he had contacted Social Services at the time (3 weeks previous) but had not heard anything from them.

Police attended and spoke with Mr Bernaise as a result a report was sent to the Social Services by way of a Form 78 (child or young person coming to notice of police).

The Murder Investigation

CRIS (Number): Report dealing with the Murder of (victim's name).

CRIMINT (Ref. Number): Police intelligence record regarding Murder Investigation.

State:

- what occurred prior to the murder (events and sequence);
- whether there was an argument and what it was about;
- whether there was alcohol / drugs involved;
- brief details of murder in terms of how the victim was found,
- where the victim was found;
- how the victim was killed (MO and weapons);
- injuries sustained by the victim etc;
- what happened post murder i.e. what did the suspect do and how the murder came to light;
- any other relevant details about the history of police involvement with victim / family);
- Court result, if there is one and when / where suspect is appearing for trial.

Community Safety Unit (Borough)

>

(Officer completing report) (Date)

VICTIM SUPPORT'S REPORT

CASE OF (VICTIM'S NAME) > (address) > (age) > (ethnic appearance)
1. OFFENCE (Date and CRIS NO.) Referred to VS (borough) following (allegation type).
 ACTION ➤ (For example: Telephoned by a member of staff on date). ➤ (Note: observations about victim). ➤ (Action taken : for example, situation was discussed, the potential dangers, and the need for further assistance and the offer of a home visit made).
OUTCOME
>
>
2. OFFENCE (On date and CRIS No.) Referred to VS (borough) following (allegation type).
 ACTION ➤ (For example: Telephoned by volunteer on date). ➤ (Action: Their situation was discussed again, and any potential dangers, a home visit was offered).
OUTCOME
> >

Position in agency Date

APPENDIX

Confirmation of no record of contact from:

- Agency 1
- Agency 2
- Agency 3
- Agency 4
- Agency 5
- Agency 6