

APPENDIX A

Panel recruitment & visit performance - January to March 2009

Panel	24/7 stns	ICVs	Awaiting clearance	Applications awaiting interview	Ideal panel size	Potential net shortfall	Current gross shortfall	Visiting target met & percentage
Hammersmith & Fulham*	2	17	0	0	17	0	0	Yes (104%)
Hounslow	2	14	0	5	17	-2	3	No (85%)
Wandsworth*	2	13	2	4	17	-2	4	No (62%)
Kingston	1	10	0	0	9	-1	-1	No (83%)
Richmond*	1	12	0	0	9	-3	-3	Yes (150%)
Brent	2	14	0	5	17	-2	3	Yes (81%)
Camden*+	3	20	4	2	26	0	6	No 72%
Ealing*	2	18	0	0	17	-1	-1	Yes (97%)
Harrow	1	10	0	3	9	-4	-1	Yes (85%)
Hillingdon	3	16	2	10	26	-2	10	Yes (99%)
Bar&Dag*	1	11	1	0	9	-3	-2	Yes 92%
Bexley	1	8	2	5	9	-6	1	Yes 115%
Hackney*	2	15	3	2	17	-3	2	Yes 88%
Hav & Red*	2	14	0	7	17	-4	3	Yes 92% & 85%
Newham+	2	15	0	4	17	-2	2	No 69%
Tower Hamlets	2	21	0	2	17	-6	-4	No 81%
Barnet*	1	10	0	4	9	-5	-1	Yes 169%
Bromley*	1	9	0	0	9	0	0	Yes 100%
Enfield*	1	11	0	1	9	-3	-2	Yes 185%
Islington	1	15	0	2	9	-8	-6	Yes 123%
Waltham Forest*	1	10	0	5	9	-6	-1	No 61.5%
Greenwich*	1	9	0	0	9	0	0	No 62%
Haringey	2	11	3	5	17	-2	6	Yes 92%
K&C*	2	10	1	5	17	1	7	No 54%
N Westmin*	2	14	0	0	17	3	3	Yes 92%
S Westmin+	2	11	0	4	17	2	6	Yes 94%
Croydon	2	11	0	6	17	0	6	Yes 88%
Lambeth	3	12	2	9	26	3	14	No 51%
Lewisham*	1	12	0	3	9	-6	-3	Yes 83%
Merton	1	8	0	0	9	1	1	Yes 92%
Southwark	2	15	0	7	17	-5	2	No 69%
Sutton	1	8	1	1	9	-1	1	Yes 100%
Total	53	404	21	101	459	-67	55	

* denotes overflow + denotes BTP (counted in figures for Camden & Westminster but not Newham due to infrequency of visits)

Performance of 85% or over considered good - green

Performance of 69-84% considered to need improvement - amber

Performance of 68% or less considered poor - red