Objectives (supporting delivery of MetForward)	Risk	Impact	Risk Rating	Control Measures	MPA Workplan	Residual Risk
Provide clearly defined strategic direction to the MPS in a transparent environment that promotes public accountability	Lack of clarity around aims, objectives and priorities of MPA Lack of clarity and agreement of policing priorities Ineffective leadership Ineffective public committee meeting structure and process Risk Owner: MPA Chief Executive	MPA strategic aims and policing priorities not met: -ineffective in fighting crime and reducing criminality -failure to provide value for money -lack of confidence in policing Lack of public confidence in the role of the MPA	Impact H Likel'hd M	 MPA strategic plan Met Forward supporting the delivery of the London Policing Business Plan MPA unit work plans drawn up to deliver MetForward monitored by MPA SMT, BMG and SOP Committee Robust and cohesive leadership by MPA Chair and MPA Chief Executive Effective working relationship between MPA Chair, MPA Chief Executive and the Commissioner and MPS Management Board Effective committee structure and process that promotes transparency and public accountability MPA appointment of ACPO rank officers Promoting and supporting succession planning in the MPS, focusing on identifying effective leaders of the future 	MPA SMT	Impact M Likel'hd L
Identify and reflect local priorities and concerns of all Londoners in plans for service delivery going forward	Ineffective consultation Inadequate consideration of Londoners concerns Ineffective planning process Risk Owner: MPA Deputy Chief Executive	Concerns and local priorities of Londoners are not addressed Lack of public confidence in policing Lack of public confidence in the role of the MPA	Impact H Likel'hd M	 Priorities reflected in Met Forward Effective consultation and community engagement with all representative groups Effective partnerships within the community Use results of consultation effectively to inform policing priorities and plans Demonstrate/promote how consultation has influenced priorities and plans Dedicate sufficient resources to consultation Conduct wider consultation, particularly 	Planning & Performance Improvement Equalities & Engagement	Impact M Likel'hd M

Objectives (supporting delivery of MetForward)	Risk	Impact	Risk Rating	Control Measures	MPA Workplan	Residual Risk
				within business community		
Principles of equalities and diversity underpin MPA strategic plan,	Lack of commitment	Lack of confidence in policing Lack of confidence in the MPA	Impact H	 Embed equalities and diversity within planning and performance framework Conduct effective equality impact assessments 	Equalities & Engagement	Impact M Likel'hd
and policing plan objectives and activities.	Lack of awareness and understanding Failure to implement new legislation Risk Owner: MPA Chief Executive	Disproportionality Grievances/ETs Legal action	Likel'hd M	 Training for members and staff Monitoring and delivery of Generic Equalities Scheme Effective scrutiny and oversight of MPS Equalities impact properly evaluated as part of Committee decision making process MPA Head of Equalities and Engagement Equalities and Engagement portfolio 	Planning & Performance Improvement	L
Londoners have confidence in the role of the MPA in effectively scrutinising MPS performance and ensuring an adequate response to areas of concern	Failure to define and agree policing priorities Ineffective MPA oversight and scrutiny of policing performance Ineffective response to areas of concern for Londoners Risk Owner: MPA Deputy Chief Executive	MPA strategic plan and policing priorities not met Lack of public confidence in policing Lack of public confidence in the role of the MPA Damage to the MPA's reputation / credibility	Impact H Likel'hd M	 Clearly defined strategic plan Met Forward and policing priorities supported by an effective performance management framework Effective committee structure and process that promotes transparency and public accountability Appropriate reporting to and scrutiny of performance at public committees Clear direction and appropriate support from the MPA to address areas of poor performance Effective member led scrutiny, focusing on key areas for improvement Prompt and appropriate MPA response to concerns raised by the public, inspection and review bodies, independent oversight 	Planning & Performance Improvement Policing Policy Scrutiny & Oversight	Impact M Likel'hd L

Objectives (supporting delivery of MetForward)	Risk	Impact	Risk Rating	Control Measures	MPA Workplan	Residual Risk
Secure and embed organisational learning within the MPS.	Ineffective identification of areas of learning Perceived 'blame culture' Inappropriate response to areas of learning identified Lack of accountability Risk Owner: MPA Deputy Chief Executive	Standards not met and incidents re-occur Complaints from the public Reputational damage Lack of public confidence in policing Lack of public confidence in the role of the MPA	Impact H Likel'hd H	 bodies e.g. Civil Liberties Panel Effective media/public communication Encourage a culture that promotes organisational learning in the MPS Identify and create a common understanding between the MPA and MPS on areas of learning Agree and define action to be taken to address areas of learning Promote professional standards Effective policy development Proactive MPA oversight of areas identified Recognise and communicate effectively improvements achieved 	Planning & Performance Improvement Directorate of Audit, Risk & Assurance	Impact M Likel'hd M
Continue to focus on core business of the Authority in times of significant change and challenge.	Challenge to role and purpose of the MPA Lack of strategic direction and focus on priorities Ineffective leadership Ineffective communication Risk Owner: MPA Chief Executive	MPA strategic plan, Met Forward and policing priorities not delivered Lack of confidence in policing Lack of public confidence in the role of the authority	Impact H Likel'hd H	 Clear strategy and vision – Met Forward Robust and cohesive leadership MPA Chair and MPA Chief Executive Effective and resilient MPA SMT Effective MPA performance management framework Embedding cultural change and new ways of working within the MPA Effective MPA communication strategy 	MPA SMT	Impact M Likel'hd L

Objectives						
(supporting delivery of MetForward)	Risk	Impact	Risk Rating	Control Measures	MPA Workplan	Residual Risk
Develop and maintain effective working relationships with key strategic partners in policing: MPS Home Office GLA family GOL Local authorities APA LCJB NPIA	Ineffective representation Ineffective lobbying Ineffective communication Lack of clarity around respective roles and responsibilities Risk Owner: MPA Chief Executive	MPA strategic plan, Met Forward and policing priorities not delivered Lack of credibility and damage to MPA reputation Duplication of work/inefficient use of resources	Impact M Likel'hd M	 Identify all key strategic partners Develop effective communication strategy and plan for engaging with all key strategic partners for the MPA Open and effective communication between all parties facilitated by the MPA communication strategy and plan Establish protocols governing the exchange of data / statistics between the MPA and key strategic partners Appropriate and effective MPA representation at meetings with key strategic partners providing influential input and giving effective feedback Effective lobbying, demonstrate publicly the need for and benefits of the MPA 	MPA SMT	Impact M Likel'hd L
Effective management of the budget, responding appropriately to the economic climate and budget pressures maximising the resources available to policing	Inadequate level of funding Not aligning the budget to meet agreed priorities Not identifying and/or realising budget efficiencies and savings Ineffective scrutiny and monitoring of the budget Risk Owner: MPA Treasurer	MPA strategic plan and policing priorities not met Poor value for money Inefficient/waste use of resources Reputational damage to the MPA and MPS	Impact H Likel'hd M	 Aligning strategic and financial planning effectively Realistic and accurate budget submission Identifying opportunities for additional funding and effective lobbying for resources Economic and efficient use of resources particularly in key areas such as estates, procurement, IS/IT capital programme – Met Support Influential MPA input to and scrutiny of the productivity agenda and Service Improvement Programme Effective MPA scrutiny of the budget – Treasurer, Finance and Resources Committee, Resources and Productivity Sub Committee 	Planning & Performance Improvement	Impact M Likel'hd M

Objectives (supporting delivery of MetForward)	Risk	Impact	Risk Rating	Control Measures	MPA Workplan	Residual Risk
				 Effective budgetary control framework Effective budget contingency planning – adequate reserve provision 		
Effective management of risk within the MPA and the MPS	Ineffective MPA oversight and review of risk management and the internal control framework within the MPS Lack of strategic direction on risk management Inadequate policy and procedures supporting the embedding of risk management Inadequate internal control framework Risk Owner: MPA Director of Audit, Risk and Assurance	MPA strategic plan and policing priorities not met Ineffective decision making Inefficient use of resources Potential key risks not identified and subsequently materialise Damage to reputation and credibility Possibility of legal action against MPA/MPS Loss of resources	Impact H Likel'hd H	 Effective MPA Corporate Governance Committee responsible for the oversight of risk management. Effective Internal Audit Service Effective MPA Corporate Governance Framework Clearly defined MPA and MPS risk management strategies supported by effective risk management process MPA SMT, BMG and MPS Management Board buy-in to risk management approach Embedding risk management in MPA/MPS corporate planning and performance management framework Early identification and escalation of emerging risks through MPA SMT and BMG BMG review and monitoring of action taken to mitigate and manage corporate and emerging risks. 	Directorate of Audit, Risk & Assurance Planning & Performance Improvement	Impact M Likel'hd M
National role in policing delivered effectively and to the benefit of Londoners (CT, Olympics /Paralympics)	Lack of clarity and definition of national responsibilities Ineffective governance Ineffective management	Inability to deliver operational policing requirements effectively Adverse effect on policing role/priorities in London Loss of prestige, lack of future	Impact H Likel'hd H	 Working with the MPS and Home Office to clearly define national role and responsibilities Clearly define strategy and objectives for national role and responsibilities Secure adequate resources to fulfil national role and responsibilities 	Policing Policy Scrutiny & Oversight	Impact M Likel'hd M

Objectives (supporting delivery of MetForward)	Risk	Impact	Risk Rating	Control Measures	MPA Workplan	Residual Risk
,	of relationships Inadequate resources Inadequate oversight Risk Owner: MPA Deputy Chief Executive	ability to influence Damage to reputation Negative impact of Olympics legacy		 Effective performance management framework governing national role in policing Effective MPA oversight – CT and Olympics sub committees Effective community engagement and consultation with Londoners 		
Effective development and use of MPA expertise, skills, resources and work plans to support delivery of the MPA strategic plan MetForward	Lack of clarity around role and purpose of the MPA Ineffective performance monitoring framework Inadequately skilled members and workforce Low morale Inappropriate staff structure Inadequate resources Risk Owner: MPA Chief Executive	Failure to deliver strategy and meet performance targets Disproportionate number of staff grievances and ETs Damage to reputation and credibility Workforce not adapting to future needs	Impact M Likel'hd M	 Clearly defined recruitment and retention policy – aiming for a highly skilled and diverse workforce whilst recognising the limited career progression opportunities in the policy area of the business Favourable employment terms and conditions Dynamic training and development strategy for staff and members involving a leadership and development programme Clearly defined HR strategy and policies supported by effective processes that are consistently applied Clearly defined objectives and work plans designed to meet strategic aims of MetForward Effective performance management framework Clearly defined personal objectives linked to unit and corporate objectives Effective performance appraisal system 	MPA SMT	Impact M Likel'hd L

Objectives (supporting delivery of MetForward)	Risk	Impact	Risk Rating	Control Measures	MPA Workplan	Residual Risk
High profile MPA initiatives are delivered in line with requirements and expectations	Inadequate skills and/or resources Ineffective oversight and management Ill defined objectives Unrealistic expectations	Lack of confidence in policing Reputational damage to the MPA Lack of credibility Inefficient use of resources	Impact M Likel'hd M	for members and staff MPA internal communication strategy Effective staff representation and consultation Effective handling of staff grievances Clearly defined and tested business continuity plan Effective health and safety policies and procedures Clearly defined objectives and deliverables Appropriately skilled officer support Dedicating adequate resources to initiative Setting a reasonable and achievable timescale Effective management and oversight Effective media/public communication	MPA SMT	Impact M Likel'hd M
	Ineffective communication Risk Owner: MPA Chief Executive			strategy		