

Metropolitan Police Estate

Asset Management Plan

**Public consultation feedback
What you told us**

June 2008

Contents

1. Background.....	2
2. How we consulted across London	2
3. Response to the public consultation	3
4. Conclusion	14

1. Background

Policing in London is changing. The Metropolitan Police Service (MPS) is working hard to deliver a more effective and locally focused service, and to do this it needs a property estate that can adapt to meet new challenges and grow to support a 21st century police service.

The Metropolitan Police Estate is legally owned and managed by the Metropolitan Police Authority (MPA) the statutory watchdog for the MPS. The Authority has overall responsibility for all MPS buildings and facilities in London and recognises the vital role the estate plays in supporting the delivery of effective and efficient policing across the capital.

The MPS places great value on consultation and communication to ensure it understands the needs, wishes and priorities of the communities it serves. It is important these communities and other stakeholders understand more about the estate from which the police operate, its size, condition and the changes that are required.

In March 2007 the 'Property for Policing' brochure was published outlining the wider pan-London estate and explaining how the best estate for borough based policing can be provided. It lists some of the challenges that the estate currently faces and looks at the individual elements of the estate envisaged for each borough.

2. How we consulted across London

In November 2007, the Asset Management Plan (AMP) consultation for each borough was launched at a joint MPA/MPS conference. Invitations to the conference were extended to local authority chief executives, strategic partners, independent advisory groups, MPs, the Police Federation and Trade Unions. Following the conference an AMP for every borough operational command unit was published.

The consultation was led by the borough commanders on behalf of the MPA and MPS. The process included at least one public meeting on each borough, which was advertised in the local press, discussions at Community and Police Consultative Group meetings (or their equivalent), and other local groups such as Safer Neighbourhood ward panel meetings, identified by each borough commander.

Copies of the AMP consultation document were made available on the MPA and MPS websites, at MPS front counters and some local libraries. The consultation document was also available on request in different formats.

A questionnaire was provided for responses, other means of response have been by way of letter, e-mail and, on some boroughs, minutes of meetings where the AMP was discussed.

The public consultation period for all boroughs closed on 13 March 2008.

We are carefully considering the views received and will produce a revised version of each borough AMP taking into account the feedback from the consultation process wherever possible.

It is important to remember that whilst we have been consulting normal business has continued and some consultation and communication activities took place outside of this process.

The MPA/MPS would like to thank all those who responded for their contribution to the public consultation process.

3. Response to the public consultation

The MPS has received a positive overall response to the public consultation in respect of its proposals for each borough. Most of the 32 boroughs supported the modernisation of the MPA estate. This document summarises the responses received and aims to include the issues that were common to many responses. Fuller details are published on a borough-by-borough basis. This document and those containing the fuller details will be available from the MPA and MPS websites and from borough operational command unit locations shown in individual feedback reports.

Some responses received during the consultation process addressed the review of some police stations and raised concerns in relation to the potential loss of what are considered to be iconic/historic buildings. The MPA and MPS are giving full consideration to these comments and the revised versions of the AMPs will reflect the MPA decision in respect of these buildings. It should also be noted that the MPA and MPS have undertaken not to close any operational policing facility until a suitable alternative in the vicinity is open and operational.

The following section contains a summary of the feedback to the Asset Management Plan consultation documents.

Barking & Dagenham borough operational command unit

Public consultation for the future accommodation strategy for Barking and Dagenham began in April 2007 as part of the process for the proposed Fresh Wharf joint custody and patrol base development. A prominent part of this consultation was how Fresh Wharf linked in with the proposals for each borough as set out in the Property for Policing document published in Spring 2007.

Overall there was limited response from the public to the consultation on the full Asset Management Plan for the borough. The public meeting held on the 5 March 2008 attracted just two members of the community.

The borough commander received favourable feedback from partners and key opinion formers from the community during his regular discussions and meetings.

The borough did not receive any public responses to the consultation

document by way of comment form, letter, e-mail or telephone.

Barnet borough operational command unit

The borough commander received six written responses to the AMP public consultation, two of which were from local councillors and one on behalf of the borough's Federation of Residents Associations. The responses cover a range of issues, with the review of Golders Green Police Station being of central concern.

The borough commander held a public meeting on the 5 February (public consultation closure date extended to allow for comments from the public meeting), which was widely publicised. Despite this, the meeting was attended by approximately 20 attendees. A number of issues were raised in connection with policing in the borough, which were addressed by the borough commander at the meeting.

Bexley borough operational command unit

Bexley borough received 21 responses to the public consultation document, one of which was a response from Bexley Council. Overall, there was support from respondents for modernising the MPA estate. There were mixed responses in relation to the future review of Sidcup police station, with support for the location of the building, fears that Sidcup will lose a policing presence, with others supporting a review.

The borough commander held a public meeting on the 5 February, which was attended by approximately 80 members of the community. The meeting was generally supportive of the need to modernise the estate. The future review of Sidcup police station was raised at the meeting. The borough commander reiterated that no facility would be closed until an alternative is open and operational in the vicinity.

Brent borough operational command unit

There was limited response to the public consultation of Brent borough's Asset Management Plan. One letter was received by the borough commander in response to the published AMP.

The borough commander held two public meetings. The first meeting on 29 January 2008 was attended by 25 members of the community, the second on 31 January 2008 by one member of the community. The meetings were generally supportive of the need to modernise the estate. The review of Harlesden and Willesden police stations were raised as a concern. The borough commander also arranged open days at Harlesden and Willesden police stations on the 9 February 2008.

Bromley borough operational command unit (private finance initiative site)

Bromley borough is a private finance initiative site and the borough undertook a full consultation process during 2001. The borough therefore benefits from a borough custody centre and single patrol base at Bromley police station. In addition, further consultation took place during 2004 for the launch of the

Safer Neighbourhoods teams.

The borough commander did not receive any public responses to the consultation document by way of comment form, letter, e-mail or telephone.

The borough held a public meeting on 12 March 2008 (public consultation closure date extended to allow for comments from the public meeting). Approximately 30 members of the community attended the meeting. No issues were raised in relation to the themes outlined in the Asset Management Plan, however the signage of Safer Neighbourhoods bases was raised as concern. This was addressed at the meeting.

Camden borough operational command unit

The public consultation for Camden borough was led by the Community and Police Consultative Group (CPCG) on behalf of the borough commander. The CPCG commissioned a separate questionnaire to supplement the themes shown in the overall AMP for Camden.

There was a positive response to the questionnaires with a total of 779 responses received by the closing date. There were four other submissions received in response to the public consultation document, two of which were collective responses. This was the highest number of responses to the consultation process.

The borough commander held two public meetings. The first meeting on 18 February 2008 attracted an audience of approximately 75 people, the second meeting on 21 February 2008 attracted an audience of approximately 14 people. (The public consultation closure date was extended to allow for comments from the public meetings). The main concern raised at the meeting on 18 February 2008 was the proposed review of the future of Hampstead Police Station, with mixed responses either supporting the closure of the station with the proceeds of any sale reinvested in policing in Camden, retaining the building for another public use or retaining the building as a police station. There was general support for the review of the borough estate at the meeting on the 21 February, with most concerns raised in relation to the potential siting of a patrol base.

In addition to the public meetings, the borough commander organised a series of public tours of the Camden policing estate to Gospel Oak Safer Neighbourhoods base, Hampstead police station, Kentish town police station and the patrol base on Enfield borough operational command unit.

Croydon borough operational command unit

Croydon borough received nine written responses to the public consultation document, three of which were collective responses. There was overall support for Safer Neighbourhood bases, a custody centre and patrol base, with mixed views in relation to the proposed location on the borough of a joint custody centre and patrol base. It should be noted that this facility will be the subject of future consultation with members of the community, local authority and key stakeholders on the borough. Of most concern to respondents was

any future review of Kenley police station on the south of the borough and the perceived loss of a visible policing presence.

The borough's public meeting was held on the 8 February 2008, with approximately 15 members of the community attending. The meeting was generally supportive of the need to modernise the estate. Residents from south of the borough raised concerns about response times if a patrol base is situated in the north of the borough.

Ealing borough operational command unit

Ealing borough received positive feedback in respect of the borough's Asset Management Plan. 58 responses were received, with an overall total of 330 members of the community commenting. This is the second highest number of returns to the consultation process. The responses included a number of combined responses from Safer Neighbourhoods ward panels and other groups that represent a number of residents. In excess of 80 copies of the Asset Management Plan were distributed from the borough's libraries.

The borough's public meeting was held on 6 February 2008. Approximately 40 people attended the meeting, including ward councillors, community leads and representatives of local residents associations.

The majority of respondents to the Asset Management Plan were supportive of the need to modernise the MPA estate. The main issue raised as a result of the consultation process was the future of Ealing and Greenford police stations.

Enfield borough operational command unit

Enfield borough received limited feedback on the borough's AMP, with five responses, three of which were collective responses from the Enfield Community & Police Partnership and two Ward Community Action Partnership groups.

The borough commander held three public meetings, the first on 16 January 2008 attended by 12 members of the community, the second on 28 January 2008 attended by 55 members of the community and the third on 31 January 2008 attended by 30 members of the community. The feedback from these public meetings was that the Enfield community is generally supportive of the need to modernise the MPA estate. The future of Southgate police station was raised by a local MP. However feedback from the consultation process showed that, overall, residents were supportive of the review of this facility if this it was replaced with the same or improved counter facilities.

Greenwich borough operational command unit

Greenwich borough has recently undertaken full public consultation in respect of the planned patrol base on the borough, which has received planning permission, and is currently consulting on the proposed custody centre to be located with the patrol base. This process has taken place outside of the public consultation on the overall AMP for the borough. The borough also holds regular staff meetings to discuss the patrol base and custody centre

proposals.

There was one written response to the AMP from a Safer Neighbourhoods ward panel. This response raised a number of general questions not specifically relating to the AMP, which have been addressed by the borough.

The public meeting held on 12 March 2008 attracted an audience of approximately 30 attendees (the public consultation deadline was extended to include comments from the public meeting). The meeting was generally supportive of the need to modernise the MPA estate. The main issue raised was in relation to the Safer Neighbourhoods teams on the borough that require permanent bases.

Hackney borough operational command unit

The AMP public consultation on Hackney borough produced a poor response, with no written replies.

The public meeting held by the borough commander on 21 February 2008 was attended by approximately 40 members of the community. The meeting was generally supportive of the need to modernise the MPA estate.

The borough commander for Hackney believes that when the borough is in a position to discuss particular options with the local community full and considered feedback will be forthcoming.

Hammersmith & Fulham borough operational command unit

Hammersmith & Fulham borough received 10 written responses to the AMP public consultation, two of which were collective responses from a Safer Neighbourhoods ward panel and the local authority. There was overall support for the proposals to modernise the MPA estate

The borough's public meeting was held as part of a Crime Summit on the 8 March 2008 with the local authority, which attracted an audience of approximately 1500 to 2000 people. The borough gave a presentation of the AMP in the main forum. The borough commander addressed specific questions during the day. A number of copies of the AMP were distributed to members of the community during the day. No major issues were raised during the Summit.

Haringey borough operational command unit

The public consultation for Hackney borough received 18 written responses to the published AMP document. There was general support for the need to modernise the MPA estate. A number of responses were received in relation to the current plans for Wood Green police station, which is subject to a separate consultation process (see below).

The public meeting held by the borough on 19 February 2008 was attended by approximately 25 members of the community. There was general support at the meeting for the need to modernise the MPA estate. There were a number of concerns raised at the meeting in respect of the proposed joint

custody centre and patrol base at Wood Green police station.

It should be noted that, in addition to the public consultation in respect of the overall AMP for the borough, Haringey is currently also undertaking a public consultation in respect of specific plans for Wood Green police station. As a result of the consultation process on the proposed plans for this site, the MPA is further considering plans for Wood Green police station.

Harrow borough operational command unit

The public consultation for Harrow borough received two written responses, one of which was a collective response from a Safer Neighbourhoods ward panel. The feedback from the written responses is supportive of the need to modernise the MPA estate.

The public meeting held by the borough on 24 January 2008 was attended by approximately 15 members of the community and was generally supportive of the AMP proposals.

The review of the future of Wealdstone and West Street police stations was supported, with comment that the architectural features should, if possible, be retained.

Havering borough operational command unit

Havering borough has recently undertaken a full public consultation in respect of the proposed patrol base on the borough, and has received planning permission for the patrol base. Construction is due to start summer 2008.

As a result, the public consultation on the full AMP for the borough received little feedback, with just one written response, which was fully supportive of the need to modernise the MPA estate.

The borough commander held two public meetings on 30 January 2008 and 1 February 2008, with four members of the community in total attending. No issues were raised. The borough also held a presentation for local stakeholders and councillors, all of whom were supportive towards the plans.

Hillingdon borough operational command unit

Hillingdon borough received 21 written responses to the AMP public consultation document. Overall, there was general support for the need to modernise the MPA estate. A number of responses questioned the suitability of Hayes police station as a proposed custody centre. This is in its early stages and it anticipated further consultation with the local community will take place in late 2008. The borough commander received a petition of 36 signatures in relation to this proposal.

The borough commander held two public meetings on 26 February 2008 attended by approximately 75 members of the community in total. The main focus of attendees at the meetings was on the proposed custody centre at Hayes police station. The borough commander reassured both meetings that when the MPA has identified a formal proposal for this site, public consultation

on the proposal would take place. Discussions also took place in relation to Safer Neighbourhoods bases. The meeting was informed that the further rollout of these bases had commenced, with ideas for potential sites from the community being welcomed.

Hounslow borough operational command unit

Hounslow borough received 19 written responses to the AMP public consultation document, a number of which were collective responses from Safer Neighbourhoods ward panels. Overall, the proposals to modernise the MPA estate were welcomed.

The section that attracted most comment was the splitting of front counter facilities, which had a mixed reaction. All comments received agreed that no closure to front offices should take place until new ones are in place, as formally stated by the MPA and MPS.

The borough commander held two public meetings on 21 December 2007 and 18 February 2008 attended in total by approximately 250 people. The meetings were generally supportive of the need to modernise the estate. No issues were identified during the meetings.

Islington borough operational command unit

Islington borough received eight written responses to the AMP public consultation document. The Safer Neighbourhoods element of the public consultation received most comments. There was overall support for Safer Neighbourhoods bases with a number of sites suggested for bases in the borough, and overall support for the provision of a custody centre.

The future review of Holloway police station raised concern with four respondents commenting on this section of the public consultation document.

The borough commander held a public meeting on 7 March 2008 at the Emirates Stadium as part of an open day entitled 'Islington Police in the 21st Century.' Approximately 35 members of the community attended. The meeting was generally supportive of the need to modernise the MPA estate. The review of Holloway police station was raised as a concern, the borough commander reassured the meeting that no facility would be closed until an alternative in the vicinity is open and operational.

Kensington & Chelsea borough operational command unit

The public consultation for Kensington and Chelsea received 12 written responses, of which five are collective responses. There was mixed reaction to the proposals in the Kensington and Chelsea AMP, in particular there was little support for change to current custody arrangements.

The public meeting held by the borough commander meeting on 6 February 2008 was attended by approximately 20 members of the community. The meeting was generally supportive of the need to modernise the estate, with no main issues identified.

Kingston upon Thames borough operational command unit

Kingston borough received a poor response to the public consultation document, with one written response from the local authority planning department. The document was found to be helpful to the council's planning department, as it will help them plan for the future. Other comments received were in respect of the detail contained in the report rather than comment on the proposed modernisation of the MPA estate.

The borough commander held a public meeting on 6 February 2008, which was attended by approximately 20 members of the community. The meeting was generally supportive of the need to modernise the MPA estate. No issues were identified.

Lambeth borough operational command unit

There were five written responses to the Lambeth AMP public consultation document, two of which were collective responses. Overall, there was general support for modernising the MPA estate, with the exception of the provision of a patrol base on the borough. Four responses were received in relation to a proposed patrol base, all of which disagreed with the plan for a patrol base on the borough, as there is a perceived reduction of service through longer response times.

The borough's public meeting on 11 February attracted approximately 40 members of the community. The meeting was generally supportive of the need to modernise the MPA estate. The main concern raised was the future review of Streatham Police Station.

Lewisham borough operational command unit (private finance initiative site)

Lewisham borough is a private finance initiative site and a full consultation process was undertaken by the borough during 2002/03. The borough benefits from a single custody centre at Lewisham police station.

The borough commander received one collective written response to the public consultation document. There was overall support for the AMP proposals, and the response welcomed the fact that consultation document gave assurance that Brockley police station would not be closed until an alternative front counter facility is open and operational in the area.

The borough's public meeting was held on 29 January 2008 as part of the Police and Community Consultative Group, with approximately 100 members of the community attending. No issues were raised at the meeting.

Merton borough operational command unit

Merton borough received limited response to the public consultation document, with four written responses, one of which was a collective response. There is general support for the need to modernise the estate on Merton borough. The written feedback raises concern in relation to the future review of Mitcham police station.

The borough commander held a public meeting on 15 January 2008, which was attended by approximately 12 members of the community. No issues were raised at the meeting.

It should be noted that Merton borough has recently undertaken full public consultation in relation to the provision of a custody centre at Wimbledon police station, which has received planning permission. Further consultation is also taking place on a proposed patrol base on the borough, for which a site has been identified.

Newham borough operational command unit

Newham borough received six written responses to the AMP public consultation document, two of which were collective responses. There was general support for a custody centre and patrol base in the borough. Those who responded welcomed the review of East Ham police station.

The public meeting held by the borough commander on 30 January 2008 was attended by approximately 20 members of the community. The meeting was supportive of the need to modernise the MPA estate. The need for front counters was raised; the borough commander reiterated that no front counter facility would close until a replacement is operational in the vicinity.

Redbridge borough operational command unit

Redbridge borough received 31 responses in respect of the AMP for Redbridge borough, most of which concentrated on the review of Wanstead Police Station. The few responses that commented on the custody centre, patrol base, front counter, and office accommodation elements of the consultation document were generally in favour of the proposals, with the need for a continued visible policing presence on the borough being a key factor. The review of Wanstead police station raised most comment with a petition submitted to the borough commander in respect of the future of Wanstead police station. However, the petition did not recognise the MPA/MPS commitment not to close this facility until an alternative is open and operational in the vicinity.

The borough commander held two public meetings, the first on 6 February 2008 was attended by approximately eight members of the community, and the second on 8 February 2008 was attended by approximately 100 members of the community. The focus of the second meeting was the review of Wanstead police station. Attendees either commented on the need to maintain a visible police presence in the area and did not mind where, with others opposed to the review of the police station. Further details are published in the Redbridge borough individual feedback document.

In addition to the public meetings, the borough commander held an open day at Wanstead police station on 17 February 2008.

Richmond borough operational command unit

Richmond borough received four written responses to the AMP public consultation document, two of which were from representatives of the Police

Liaison Group on the borough. There was overall support for the AMP with the success of the Safer Neighbourhoods teams receiving most comment. The feedback also identified that respondents considered an industrialised zone would be the best place for a custody centre and patrol base.

The borough commander held a public meeting held on 22 January 2008, which was attended by approximately 80 members of the community. There was general support at the meeting for the need to modernise the MPA estate. Concerns were raised about a previous site for the custody centre. The borough commander reassured attendees that the MPA have no plans to revisit this site. The future of Richmond police station was also discussed with attendees reassured that no facility would close until an alternative is operational in the vicinity.

Southwark borough operational command unit

Southwark borough received 23 written responses to the public consultation document. Positive responses were received in respect of the need to modernise the MPA estate and support for Safer Neighbourhoods teams.

Approximately 178 members of the community registered for the public meeting held by the borough, which was a partnership event with the borough's Police and Community Consultative Group, entitled 'The Big Chat'. The meeting was generally supportive of the need to modernise the MPA estate. The review of East Dulwich police station was raised as a concern. The borough commander reassured the meeting that no facility would close until an alternative is open and operational.

During the consultation period, the borough commander received a petition of over 3000 signatures in relation to the future review of East Dulwich police station. The petition did not recognise the MPA/MPS commitment not to close this facility until an alternative is open and operational in the vicinity. The petition will be given full consideration in the review of the borough's AMP and it is anticipated that this element of the AMP will be subject to further consultation during 2008/09.

Sutton borough operational command unit (private finance initiative site)

Sutton borough operational command unit is a private finance initiative site and a full consultation process on the changes to the borough estate was undertaken during 2000/01.

The borough received 32 written responses to the public consultation document. There was unanimous support for Safer Neighbourhoods bases on the borough. No comments were received in respect of the custody centre and patrol base elements of the public consultation as the borough benefits from these facilities at Sutton police station. The front counter and office accommodation elements of the AMP were supported overall in the responses.

The borough commander held a public meeting on 23 February 2008, attended by 53 members of the community. The main focus of the meeting was the review of Wallington police station with most concerns of attendees addressed at the meeting.

Tower Hamlets borough operational command unit

Tower Hamlets borough received three written replies to the AMP public consultation, one of which was collective response. The document was made available at the Ideas Store, GPs surgeries and local authority offices on the borough. There were no comments on the Safer Neighbourhoods, patrol base, custody centre and office accommodation elements of the AMP. Comments received were in relation to the future review of Limehouse police station and the need to provide policing facilities in the area.

The public meeting held on 5 February 2008 was attended by six members of the community. No issues were raised at the meeting.

Waltham Forest borough operational command unit

Waltham Forest borough operational command unit has recently undertaken a full public consultation exercise on the proposed custody centre at Hainault Road, Leyton. The borough also benefits from having a single patrol base at Uplands Business Park. The AMP public consultation generated one written response, with no issues arising.

The public meeting held by the borough commander on 5 March 2008 was attended by approximately eight members of the community. The meeting was generally supportive of the need to modernise the MPA estate. A concern raised was the sale of MPA land at Hainault Road, Leyton. Attendees were assured by the borough commander that any scheme on that land would require planning permission through the local authority.

Wandsworth borough operational command unit

The public consultation in relation to Wandsworth borough's AMP attracted six responses. There was general support for Safer Neighbourhoods bases and those who responded to the patrol base, custody centre, front counter and office accommodation elements of the AMP were supportive. All respondents commented on the need for visible policing on the borough.

The public meeting held by the borough commander on 18 February 2008 was attended by 18 members of the community. The meeting was generally supportive of the need to modernise the MPA estate, with the patrol base element of the plan and response times being of most concern to attendees. The borough commander reiterated to the meeting that officers would only be at the patrol base at the start and end of their shifts, or for meal breaks and would be patrolling the borough at all other times, the exception being when they had a prisoner to deal with.

Westminster borough operational command unit

Westminster borough operational command unit had limited consultation on the borough's AMP. The borough commander had briefed the Police and

Community Consultative Groups, however no formal response to the AMP was received.

4. Conclusion

The main themes arising from the public consultation of the MPA estate in relation to operational policing are summarised below, with details of how the MPA/MPS plan to proceed.

Safer Neighbourhoods

This was very well supported throughout the public consultation. A number of suggestions for sites have been received with the feedback. Details of these sites and locations have been passed to the MPS Property Services department who will investigate whether these sites are suitable for Safer Neighbourhoods bases.

Signage of bases was raised at a number of meetings. The MPA/MPS have a rollout programme for signage of bases, which commences in June 2008 and is due to conclude in August 2009, which includes signage of bases that have yet to be delivered to some boroughs.

Custody centre

MPA/MPS plans for a custody centre on every borough were generally supported. Some respondents raised understandable local concerns in respect of the location of such a facility. To date there are three boroughs where planning permission has been received for a custody centre (Barking & Dagenham, Merton and Waltham Forest), with five in pre-planning discussions with the relevant local authority and local community (Croydon, Greenwich, Haringey, Hillingdon and Lambeth). Any proposal for a custody centre would be the subject of local authority planning permission and further consultation would take place on individual schemes.

Patrol facilities

MPA/MPS plans for a patrol base on every borough were generally supported. Some respondents raised concern in respect of the location of such a base and, in a limited number of cases, concern that a single location could be inadequate. There was a general misunderstanding of the concept of a patrol base and a need has been identified as a result of the consultation process for a clearer explanation by the MPA/MPS of the functions of a patrol base. A public information film is being prepared by the MPS to assist borough commanders with future consultation in relation to this element of the AMP.

Public counters

MPA/MPS plans for the splitting of public counter facilities were supported. Some respondents raised concern in respect of not having enough public counter facilities in their borough. The MPA/MPS are committed to ensuring that no front counter facility will close until a new facility is open and operational in the vicinity.

Office buildings

Most respondents agreed with the need to group command/management/support functions together and stated that good links would be required between management and operational staff.

Review of police stations

A high majority of respondents recognised the need to upgrade and modernise police buildings on the MPA estate, however the review of a limited number of police stations was seen as an emotive issue. Whilst some respondents are content in the knowledge that these will not close until new facilities are open and operational, others are unhappy with iconic/historic sites being sold. There were mixed views about whether these buildings should remain within the police estate or whether they should be retained for other public use. Further consideration is given to this element of the AMP in the report to the MPA Co-ordination and Policing Committee, as mentioned below.

Other information

This summary analysis is subject to a report to the MPA Co-ordination and Policing Committee on 4 July 2008. After this date the summary analysis and full borough analysis will be available on the MPA and MPS websites.

Each borough AMP covers a period of three years. Further full public consultation will take place on each element of the AMP as the MPA considers further modernisation of the policing estate, taking into account the feedback received from this public consultation process.

Abbreviations:

- AMP - Asset Management Plan
- MP - Member of Parliament
- MPA - Metropolitan Police Authority
- MPS - Metropolitan Police Service

