Appendix 2



INITIAL DRAFT

Consultation Strategy and Implementation Plan 2002/05

Report of the Consultation, Diversity and Outreach Unit

Introduction

This document sets out an initial consultation strategy for the Metropolitan Police Authority and the Metropolitan Police Service. The strategy will be owned and managed by the MPA that will be accountable for its delivery. It also serves as a reference document for the Greater London Authority functional body family with which the Authority will work closely on consultation.

Definition of consultation

The MPA and MPS, has many different audiences and target groups: citizens, customers, users, stakeholder organisations, individuals and communities. There are many modes of engagement: consultation, public involvement and participation, needs assessment, market research, re-connecting citizens with government, to name but a few. For public authorities, statutory requirements to consult, and legal definitions of consultation are also important

For practical purposes consultation is used in this paper as a generic term to encompass a wide spectrum of activities. The following working definitions have been adopted from the GLA group Best Value review of Consultation:

Consultation: providing effective ways for citizens, service users and stakeholders to understand and influence decisions and policies that affect them

Public engagement: an ongoing dialogue with the public, building shared knowledge of strategic London issues, and wider participation in shared solutions and decisions.

Londoners: people who live, work, study or run businesses in London, visitors to London, commuters into London, and organisations located here.

Stakeholders: organised and representative interest groups across all sectors of London's communities. These include business and academic institutions, the voluntary sector, London boroughs, and the wider community groups who function within an organised infrastructure.

By the term consultation, this document is referring to processes and activities that seek to find out discuss and understand the views of Londoners:

- in order to shape the policies and priorities at strategic borough or operational command levels based relevant to policing in London;
- and by which the Authority can assess the effectiveness and efficiency of the MPS in undertaking consultation

The strategy is not attempting to encompass the Authority's wider communication and marketing objectives, which should more appropriately fall under a

communication strategy. However the giving and receiving of information between the Authority and the public to make consultation more effective is within the scope of the definition.

It should also be stated that by 'consultation', we include and want to focus upon processes wider than 'market research'. The strategy is concerned with the involvement, engagement and participation of consultees in the business of the Authority. As such, it is closely linked with the Authority's objective to hold the Metropolitan Police Service to democratic account and to ensure trust and confidence in the police service.

All consultation undertaken by the MPA and MPS, whether at Pan London or local levels should seek to answer the following:

Why do we consult?

- Service improvement future planning building consensus
- Understanding behaviour attitudes needs views
- Statutory requirements

What are we doing?

- Information consultation deciding together delegation of decision-making
- Communication research offering opportunities for involvement

Who do we consult and how?

- Groups/stakeholders prescribed by statute wider public or selected groups
- Customers (including involuntary ones) users citizens voters taxpayers
- Public at large informed public/individuals experts
- Individuals communities (of place, of interest) stakeholder organisations
- Directly affected groups/individuals indirectly affected ones citizen interests
- Large/representative numbers targeted groups self-selected groups

Consultees

There are several broad categories of consultees with whom the MPA consults.

One such category is statutory bodies, institutions and agencies, for example local authorities.

- A second category is the public, by which we mean
 - individual members of the public;
 - the societies, associations and groups members of the public form;
 - people/groups who represent sets of individuals.
- A third category is users and recipients of MPA/MPS services.

 A fourth category is staff and those involved in the delivery of services.

This is not intended to be a comprehensive classification, but is included here to help define the consultees with which this document principally deals.

A detailed consultation plan will identify how these categories will be engaged in specific consultation activities.

SEE REVISIONS TO BE INCLUDED HERE

Vision

The 5-year vision for the Metropolitan Police Authority is that through its work and the realization of this strategy:

- 1) The public in London, regardless of where they live, work or visit, their backgrounds, or the views they seek to express, are:
 - Given a full opportunity to exchange their views with the MPA and MPS;
 - Feel confident in raising these views; and
 - Have a fair and equitable opportunity in doing so.
- 2) Consultation makes a real difference to MPA/MPS decision-making.
- 3) Institutions whom work and share common aims with the MPA consider the MPA to consult in an innovative and exemplary way and to be a supportive partner in consultation.
- 4) That consultation is recognised as a core activity by both the police service and the Authority, to particularly include members of the MPA, senior personnel in the MPA/MPS as well as the public.
- 5) That the public in London feels the MPA holds the MPS to democratic account, in an effective and efficient way in which it carries out consultation at strategic and local levels.

Current Position

The Best Value Review of Consultation

The Authority was established in July 2000. In conjunction with the MPS it conducted a Best Value Review of Consultation, which reported in March 2001.

Four key drivers for consultation were identified:

1. The democratic role of the Authority;

- 2. The statutory duty to consult Londoners upon their priorities for their police service.
- 3. The Authority's duty on Best Value, in which the need to consult is an explicit requirement.
- 4. The Authority's role to ensure the MPS is efficient and effective in its policing of the Metropolitan district and so responsive to the needs of Londoners.

Key constraints to Vision identified through the review

There is no corporate co-ordination of consultation.

Consultation doesn't adequately reach groups with whom the police needs to gain confidence and develop good dialogue.

The MPS culture is not a listening one.

Borough-level concerns are not perceived to be sufficiently accommodated in the corporate planning process.

Minimum good practice standards are not met nor monitored, so leading to inconsistency and unequal opportunities for the public across London.

PCCGs are at different levels of development. Not all fit well into local and strategic consultation frameworks.

Consultation processes for the policing plan and best value reviews are not well-specified nor sufficiently linked to community safety (crime and disorder) partnerships.

More systematic evaluation of consultation needs to takes place.

Costs/benefits of consultation are not adequately identified.

Key success factors for ensuring the vision is achieved.

- Establishment of a management structure direct and oversee an MPA/MPS Consultation Strategy.
- Great deal of experience gained during the first year of the Review in progressing a number of recommendations.
- Establishment of good relationships with key partners and stakeholders to undertake consultation.
- Involvement in the GLA Group Best Value Review of Consultation.

Confident approach in working with consultative groups to ensure improvements

Strategy and Implementation Plan (this will be revised to incorporate the recommendations arising from the GLA Group Best Value Review of Consultation once the Authority has adopted these).

Key products and outcomes sought	Action Required	Implementation Targets	Year	Responsibility
Better co- ordination of consultation at	Outline and set up consultation units in MPA and MPS	Define and establish organization structure and responsibilities.	1	
corporate level of MPA and	Wii / Cana ivii C	Establish corporate leads.	1	MPA
MPS		Develop roles and recruit to units	1	
	Prepare 3 year planning calendar	Map all local and central consultation activity and set out in schedule.	1	
		Specify policing plan timetable.	1	
		Develop process for liasing with Community Safety Partnerships, BOCUs and consultative groups to co-ordinate central activity more closely with borough level consultation.	1	MPA LEAD
		Identify annual programme of consultative group meetings.	1	
		Review requirements and timing of Public Attitude Survey in line with other internally and externally commissioned survey work.	2	
	Set up consultation board	Agree constitution.	1	
		Develop terms of reference.	1	MPA COD Committee
		Set up programme of meetings.	1	
Greater accessibility and inclusive	Voluntary sector capacity building	Consider objectives, scope and feasibility of challenge funding.	1	
consultation		Progress as feasible.	2	MPA CDO
		Identify, help develop and work with network of voluntary sector groups and advocates.	1/2	Unit
	Support initiatives to make consultative	Help develop group constitutions.	1	MPA CDO Unit

Key products and outcomes sought	Action Required	Implementation Targets	Year	Responsibility
	groups more representative of their communities.	Carry out publicity campaign on behalf of consultative groups.	2	
		Review accessibility issues and how MPA can help to address these.	1/2	
	Introduce new channels of consultation	Develop e-consultation	2	MPA/MPS SM Board
		Consider 'Citizen Panel'.	2	
	Undertake targeted initiatives	Identify where confidence gaps occur between the police and different sections of the community.	1	
		Carry out risk assessment i.e. those groups with whom non-confidence creates significant barriers to consultation as wells as to crime reduction and public order.	1	MPA CDO
		Prioritise high-risk groups.	1	Unit
		Establish programme for consulting on priorities.	1	
		Carry out specific initiatives and outreach work.	on- going	
	Bring more sections of the community into delivering consultation.	Review procurement procedures to ensure local, small businesses can develop and compete with market dominant consultants. Introduce, where not established,	1/2	MPA CDO
		quality assurance procedures to ensure consultants comply with equal opportunities legislation and encourage them to be active in promoting equalities.	72	Unit
Better feedback	Improve links between consultation and communication units	Incorporate communications teams in Consultation Board.	1	
		Establish organization information flow diagrams dealing with key consultation processes.	1	MPA & MPS
Greater emphasis upon borough	Build upon existing local consultation	Survey existing frameworks.	1	

Key products and outcomes sought	Action Required	Implementation Targets	Year	Responsibility
based consultation	frameworks	Develop compacts with pan-London organization e.g. GOL, ALG, GLA, in supporting borough consultation.	1/2	
		Support MPA link members with networking in local consultation.	1/2	
	Pilot Community Consultation	Establish objectives	Done	
	Officers	Select pilot boroughs	Done	
		Agree budget and operational detail (achieved).	Done	
		Recruit and appoint CCOs	1	MPA
		Establish work programmes.	1	IIII A
		Review		
		Determine scope and feasibility of roll out.	2/3	
	Help raise standards locally	Identify and disseminate good practice models.	1/2	
		Seek to establish and participate in development of local consultation arrangements.	0n- going	
		Establish minimum service levels/standards for consultative groups and ensure budget allocation supports these.	1	
		Consider deployment of resources to provide professional consultation support within boroughs.	2	MPA
		Assess demand for best practice guidance, develop and disseminate accordingly.	1	
		Monitor and review local consultation undertaken by BOCU to ensure that target groups are being reached.		
Value for money	Develop systems of evaluation	Consider and introduce an appropriate range of performance measures.	1	MPA/MPS
		Establish performance management system of target setting, reporting,	1	СЅМВ

Key products and outcomes sought	Action Required	Implementation Targets	Year	Responsibility
		monitoring and review. Undertake audit of Borough level consultation in order to inform strategy and planning process.		
	Mainstream consultation	Encourage incorporation of consultation best practice more widely across MPA/MPS. Progress implementation of BV recommendations arising from GLA Group and MPA/S reviews.	on- going	MPA/MPS CSMB
	Resource management	Appraise levels of skills, knowledge and experience of those involved in consultation.	1/2	
		Provide training as appropriate to meet agreed standards.	2	
		Identify ways to support and harness voluntary contribution into consultation.	2	
		Identify common requirements with other agencies e.g. survey work, user groups etc. and develop partnership projects.	1	MPA/MPS CSMB
		Review and develop use of information technology and systems. Review systems and processes for internal joint working and sharing of skills.	1	
	Make better use of consultation	Develop systems for sharing and publicizing consultation feedback.	1	MPA/MPS
		Develop system for tracking use of consultation processes and feedback.	1	CSMB
	Budget management	Define budgets. Establish effective monitoring arrangements.	1	MPA/MPS CSMB
	Motivate	Publicise successes	On- going	MPA/MPS CSMB

Management of the plan

The management of this plan will be the responsibility of the MPA Lead Officer for consultation.

The Consultation Committee, shall hold overall responsibility for achievement of the vision.

Monitoring and Evaluation

At the turn of each financial year, the above implementation targets shall be, reprioritised, costed and assigned. New targets shall be added as agreed by CDO Committee.

Progress against the Plan shall be reviewed at least annually by Consultation Committee and at least half yearly by the proposed Consultation Board.

A process of independent evaluation of the plan shall be developed.

Progress updates shall be supplied to HMIC as agreed or upon request.

Race relations (Amendment Act) Action Plan

The MPA and MPS have both identified processes in their published schemes for undertaking consultation that will include and reflect the views and experienced of people from differing racial groups and experiences. Further revisions of the strategy and implementation plan will reflect this.

Budget and Costs

A budget strategy for the implementation plan shall be developed in liaison with the MPA Treasurer and the MPS.

Recommendations arising from the GLA Group BV review of consultation Appendix 1

Recommendations/actions	How	By when	Lead officer	Performance indicator
Key outcomes				
FBs approve: GLA consultation framework Good practice guidelines Protocols for joint working	Refer review outcomes to each Board	30/4/02	ML	Drafts issued to group members 4/02
Each FB to produce it's own consultation strategy based on the above	Through Consultation Network (CN)	31/5/02	FBs	Report outcomes to MMB 6/02
Core GLA consultation strategy to be approved	Refer to MMB	30/4/02	ML	Scheduled for 29/4

Joint Working				
Relaunch Consultation Network (review membership and terms of reference)	FBs to comment	30/4/02	ML	Collate views and decision on way forward by 30/4/02
FBs and core GLA to agree key issues & consultation plan for next 2 years	through CN	31/7/02	BG	Template produced by core GLA 31/5
Complete detailed mapping of consultation activity & costs across GLA group	through CN	31/7/02	BG	Core GLA version to be circulated 31/5
Agree joint surveys and joint consultation initiatives & publish a programme of consultation activities	through CN	31/7/02	ML	Initial report to MMB 6/02

Good practice and better use of	esources			
Produce & maintain a library of consultation undertaken by other organisations	through research library	31/8/02	BG	Brief to Annabel Davies 30/5/02
Outsource consultation activity to other organisations where appropriate	Through procurement processes	Ongoing	FBs (CN)	indicate possibilities in consultation plan & map of activities
Launch and disseminate good practice guidance	Seminars, newsletter	9/02	BG (CN)	Plan and start programme from 6/02
Establish data base of consultation exercises, outcomes, contacts & future plans	Develop as intranet/extranet	31/12/02	BG	Agreed through IEG programme 1/6/02
Develop toolkit of consultation resources on intranet, building on good practice guidance	through 2 nd phase of web re-design	31/12/02	BG	agree with Jayne Davies for project plan
Improve consultation approach to fit better with borough planning cycles & elections	link with consultation plan	31/7?02	BG	consider at CN
Ensure systematic feedback to consultees	Establish clear resourced system	30/9/02	BG	Options paper to ML 31/7/02

Monitor targets set in consultation	Establish clear	30/10/02	BG	Options paper to ML
framework and develop a system	resourced system			31/8/02
to measure consultation impact				

Recommendations/actions	How	By when	Lead officer	Performance indicator
Wider public engagement				
Consider recommendations of Assembly 'Reaching Out' scrutiny (expected mid-April 02)	report to MMB	5/02	ML	review report at CN
Establish techniques to engage traditionally excluded groups	Consider consultancy advice	1/9/02	BG	brief for consultants to ML by 30/6
Apply technology to improve consultation techniques and innovate e.g. email, web, texting	Through IEG project	31/10/02	ML	report to IEG steering group 5/02
Improve public awareness of GLA & FB responsibilities & achievements to enhance effectiveness of consultation & public engagement	Consider newsletter or similar publication to all Londoners	31/5/02	MO & Comunica- Tions	Report to MMB 5/02

Stakeholder engagement						
Review: Criteria for inclusion on stakeholder lists Representative of list How to publish & up-date list Duplication of mail-outs Support to umbrella organisations.	Review report to MMB	30/6/02	FK	draft to JJ & ML 31/5		

Co-ordination			
Establish single point of contact with all major consultees	Raise with boroughs & stakeholders	FK	Draft letter to major consultees to ML by 31/5
Each FB to nominate a lead member on consultation	Raise with FBs	ML	Clear at final BV board meeting