RACE AND EQUALITY IMPACT

Public Complaints allegations per 100 officers by type – March 2006 to February 2007: People

The next table shows the number of complainants within each borough over the last 12 months and breaks them down by ethnicity, gender and age. The MPS total is illustrated for comparison.

						TP P	FM	Fam	ily	<u>Grou</u>	p 3							
Complainant Details:		Camden		Westminster		Hackney		Lambeth		Southwark		Tower Hamlets		TP Total		MPS Total		
		White	59	37%	119	12%	39	24%	59	26%	38	24%	30	21%	1314	28%	1557	27%
≥	5	Black	23	14%	64	7%	52	32%	99	43%	48	30%	14	10%	926	19%	1095	19%
Ethnicity	5	Asian	5	3%	25	3%	4	2%	3	1%	5	3%	34	24%	265	6%	309	5%
thr		Other	7	4%	8	1%			3	1%			1	1%	46	1%	61	1%
Щ	1	Unknown	65	41%	746	78%	68	42%	64	28%	67	42%	64	45%	2207	46%	2663	47%
		Total	1	59	9	62	1	63	2	28	1	58	1	43	47	58	568	85
														<u>.</u>				
7		Female	55	35%	224	23%	54	33%	65	29%	49	31%	42	29%	1546	32%	1806	32%
9	2	Male	104	65%	525	55%	107	66%	161	71%	106	67%	99	69%	2963	62%	3611	64%
Gender	ול מ	Unknown			213	22%	2	1%	2	1%	3	2%	2	1%	249	5%	268	5%
٠	' [Total	1	59	9	62	1	63	2	28	1	58	1	43	47	58	568	85
		0-16 years		_	3	0%	1	1%	3	1%	3	2%	1	1%	61	1%	79	1%
		17-24	16	10%	42	4%	20	12%	21	9%	20	13%	20	14%	462	10%	559	10%
		years																
		25-44	61	38%	141	15%	59	36%	107	47%	55	35%	55	38%	1563	33%	1847	32%
ا ا		years																
Aae	Ď	45-64	28	18%	47	5%	18	11%	39	17%	17	11%	16	11%	620	13%	743	13%
	`	years															····	
		Over 65	3	2%	7	1%	5	3%	7	3%	6	4%			93	2%	104	2%
		years													***************************************		·····	
		Unknown	51	32%	722	75%	60	37%	51	22%	57	36%	51	36%	1959	41%	2353	41%
		Total	1	59	9	62	1	63	2	28	1	58	1	43	47	58	568	85

It can be seen that the ethnicity of the complainant is unknown in a high percentage of instances, on average 47% across the MPS. Within this group, the extent to which ethnicity is unknown ranges between 28% for *Lambeth* to 78% in *Westminster*. *Westminster* is particularly high in this respect, as it has been skewed by the 'Danish Cartoon' allegations the majority of which were received via correspondence rather than in person.

DPS are implementing measures to improve data quality in this area. This issue will be brought to the attention of Borough Commanders and monitored

through the PSSP process. Furthermore, DPS caseworkers have been asked to ensure this forms part of their role and of the file checking process before files are returned for filing and storage.

Where the ethnicity is known, it is evident that, a higher proportion of complainants are from non-white communities, most notably from, Black people with the exception of *Tower Hamlets* where Asian people are represented in greater proportion. The greatest proportion of White complainants is recorded in *Camden*.

The capture of gender related information is much better than that for ethnicity with the majority of complaints being made by males. Generally, the split between the genders is approximately 30% female and 70% male.

In respect of the complainants' age, the percentage where age is unknown is only marginally better than that for ethnicity. The highest proportion of complainants are aged between 25-44 years old, which was the same as was evident in the previous reports covering the TP PFM families.

The following table shows the number of officers within each group receiving complaints over the last 12 months and breaks them down by ethnicity, gender and length of service.

TD DEM Family Group 3

			IP PFM Family Group 3															
Officer Details:		Camden		Westminster		Hackney		Lambeth		Southwark		Tower Hamlets		TP Total		MPS Total		
		White	169	70%	195	18%	177	66%	252	66%	219	76%	136	64%	3983	58%	5149	62%
, ,	>	Black	10	4%	6	1%	7	3%	4	1%	6	2%	5	2%	142	2%	176	2%
	EUILIICIUS	Asian	2	1%	15	1%	7	3%	8	2%	3	1%	1	0%	190	3%	215	3%
44		Other	4	2%	5	0%	7	3%	4	1%	5	2%	6	3%	95	1%	117	1%
Ц	Ц	Unknown	58	24%	842	79%	69	26%	115	30%	56	19%	66	31%	2421	35%	2662	32%
		Total	2	43	10)63	2	67	3	83	2	89	2	14	68	31	83	19
3	:/	Female	28	12%	29	3%	27	10%	57	15%	41	14%	24	11%	846	12%	953	11%
7	2	Male	162	67%	196	18%	173	65%	219	57%	195	67%	126	59%	3650	53%	4814	58%
Š	derider	Unknown	53	22%	838	79%	67	25%	107	28%	53	18%	64	30%	2335	34%	2552	31%
	וכ	Total	2	43	10)63	2	67	3	83	2	89	2	14	68	31	83	19
																•		
8	2	0-2 years	37	15%	56	5%	64	24%	73	19%	56	19%	44	21%	1039	15%	1138	14%
		3 years	25	10%	41	4%	32	12%	49	13%	55	19%	32	15%	793	12%	883	11%
S) ロ	4 years	12	5%	20	2%	19	7%	44	11%	29	10%	15	7%	569	8%	674	8%
4	5	5-9 years	46	19%	46	4%	40	15%	58	15%	33	11%	27	13%	805	12%	1141	14%
4	3	10-14 years	30	12%	17	2%	10	4%	16	4%	19	7%	9	4%	362	5%	542	7%
3	<u>بر</u> 5)	15-19 years	13	5%	17	2%	13	5%	9	2%	24	8%	9	4%	316	5%	480	6%
_	Z	10-14 years 15-19 years 20-24 years	11	5%	11	1%	6	2%	7	2%	8	3%	10	5%	220	3%	362	4%

Total	2	243	1(063	2	67	3	83	2	89	2	14	68	31	83	19
Unknown	61	25%	849	80%	79	30%	123	32%	61	21%	68	32%	2550	37%	2818	34%
40 years and over																
35-39 years	1	0%											4	0%	4	0%
30-34 years			1	0%	1	0%							16	0%	30	0%
25-29 years	7	_ 3%	5	0%	3	1%	4	1%	4	1%			157	2%	247	3%

There is a high proportion where these details are not yet established – 35% on average across all indicators. This will be due the proportion of officers whose details are as yet unknown. The complaints system is fed with officer details by HR system so once an officer is identified all of this diversity information will be available.

The numbers of non-white officers receiving complaints overall is small when compared with their white colleagues who make up the greater proportion of officer workforce. As a percentage, non-white officers appear to be complained of in a greater proportion to their representation within the MPS workforce would suggest. However, the recruitment policy in recent years has seen an increase in non-white officers whose length of service will mean they are more likely to be at constable/sergeant rank employed in front-line operation roles dealing with the public. Recent figures show that over half (52%) of the black & minority ethnic police officers have less than five years service compared to 29% overall.

Female officers currently represent approximately 20% of the overall police officer workforce and generally speaking receive, on average, about 12% of the complaints. When put into context of the number of female officers based within BOCUs this figure is better as women represent 24% of the borough workforce.

The majority of officers who have contact with the public will be younger in service. An officer's length of service may affect the numbers of complaints they receive. Early in their career probationer officers will be less experienced but likely to receive greater supervision. Once they complete the probation period, they will be supervised less but remain relatively inexperienced.

The following table illustrates the actual number of officers who have received formal sanctions or informal discipline in respect of allegations concluded in the 12 months to February 2007.

		TP P	FM Far	nily Gro	oup 3			
Outcomes (Officers):	Camden	Westminster	Hackney	Lambeth	Southwark	Tower Hamlets	TP Total	MPS Total
Criminal Prosecution	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3
Misconduct Hearing	0	2	0	1	1	1	11	16
Written Warning	0	0	2	0	2	1	20	30
Informal			<u> </u>		<u> </u>	•		

Discipline

Advice	1	8	3	4	8	0	79	86
Discussion	0	1	0	0	1	0	3	3
Guidance	0	0	0	3	0	0	11	12
Training	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2

Public Complaint allegations that are substantiated are usually within the region of 2 to 3% of all finalised allegations. It is therefore expected that there will be few formal outcomes such as a criminal prosecution or misconduct hearing.

A high number of written warnings may mean that it is being used as a means of dealing with minor misconduct issues rather than a higher number of officers whose conduct falls short of that expected and it should be seen as encouraging. A written warning means that the officer accepts the failing and is a key component in encouraging learning from past mistakes.

The table shows Advice being the most used form of informal discipline.

Professional Standards Support Program (PSSP)

The PSSP tasking meeting sat in February 2007 to consider Family Group 3 and decide what program of input would be tailored to each borough's needs.

Hackney was identified as requiring additional support and a 'profile' will be prepared to facilitate this. The 'profile' will be based on all the data available but it will be analysed in greater detail, by drilling down into it, to establish the exact nature and potential causes of variation between that borough and its peers.

A condensed 'profile' for Southwark was also requested that focused on Discrimination and Incivility allegations only.

By the time that the PSCC sits, all of the group 3 Borough Commanders or

their deputies (and their senior management teams) will have been visited to raise the profile of DPS and advise them of what they can expect from the PSSP program. Briefings will be arranged with the Police Federation and Trade Union representatives and these will take place before the input starts on their particular borough.

Feedback in relation to the delivery of the PSSP to Family Group 2 has been positive and people have been receptive to the aims of the support program.

Some of the initiatives that will be delivered to the boroughs, (through existing training cycles where possible), are as follows: -

The "core" menu will be delivered to all BOCUs within the family group. This consists of a briefing to the Borough Commander to explain the purpose of PSSP and explore any Professional Standards issues arising. This has already taken place and has been a positive exercise in itself. The meetings have facilitated the exchange of good practice and encouraged useful suggestions for the future conduct of business between the boroughs and DPS.

Accident Claims are engaging with all BOCUs in the family group and are arranging to deliver their core messages and highlight good practice to borough practitioners. IBO managers, F&R managers and SMTs have all been identified as significant contacts for this area of work. Civil Actions talks are being tailored to individual borough needs.

The Computer Misuse package has been shown previously has been well received. This will be a significant vehicle for the delivery of the wider Professional Standards message.

The "Core-Plus" programme will be delivered at the OCU identified as likely to benefit most from the additional support offered by PSSP. This will involve the delivery of the Custody (looking after prisoners) briefing, a review of officer safety complaints and training and a more detailed review of officers identified under the *Complaints Intervention Scheme* (officers with 3 or more public complaints or conduct matters recorded within a 12 month period).

There will also be additional input on Local Resolution awareness - rates and guidance on achieving them. Some BOCUs have already asked for some of the "Core-Plus" products to be delivered to a targeted audience and this will be delivered where resources allow. A greater challenge will arise with Central London Boroughs forming family group 3. The tasking process with this group will be critical if the targeting of resources is to yield maximum benefit.