## TAYLOR BENEFITS REALISATION PLAN

MPS	Toylor Project Vicion	Demofite	Benefit	Diammad
Professional	Taylor Project Vision	Benefits	Measures and	Planned
Standards		expected	Measurement	Benefit
Mission and		from	Methodology	Dates
Strategic Aims		delivering		
2006 - 2009		Taylor		
		Project		
Placing	The new misconduct	The discipline	To await a clear	
Prevention at	system aims to:	process will	national	
the heart of		change to	performance	
improving	Achieve confidence of	become more	framework to	
Professional Standards.	staff through fair	'fit for purpose', timely,	ensure consistency in	
Stanuarus.	treatment, openness, proportionate	proportionate	national and	
Moving from a	response, timely	and locally	local governance	To establish
blame culture	intervention /	managed.	arrangements.	baseline for
to one where	investigation, flexibility	ge al	g	reduction
lessons are	to move between	Minor	However, the	targets (April
learned.	misconduct and	misconduct will	MPS will aim to	2007 – 8?)
	performance	now be	reduce;	
Developing	procedures.	managed by	Time limits	<ul> <li>April 2008</li> </ul>
greater internal	- ·	B/OCUs who	set.	- 2009
and external trust and	Encourage a culture	will have the added	Recorded	
confidence in	of learning and	advantage,	complaints.	<ul> <li>April 2009</li> <li>2010</li> </ul>
the way the	development for the officer concerned and	where	Number of	-2010
MPS ensures	the organisation.	appropriate, of	appeals and whether against	• April 2010
Professional	the organisation.	resolving	finding or	- 2011
Standards are	Put managers in the	complaints	sanction.	2011
delivered.	driving seat by formally	locally through	Civil claims.	
	(in regulation) the right	customer	The use of	
Complementing	of managers to deal	service	suspension.	
MPS Citizen Focus work by	with at the lowest level,	intervention.	<ul> <li>The length of</li> </ul>	
increasing	e.g. formal misconduct	Local	hearings.	
Professional	meeting on B/OCUs.		Costs of	
Standards	Provide an	devolved	investigation.	
engagement	• opportunity to deal with	management	Not all benefits	Dip sampling
with	misconduct without	accountability	can be measured	and analysis
stakeholders	waiting for the outcome	will lead to	as above,	on a quarterly
through;	of related criminal	early	although a benefit	basis for
	proceedings.	intervention,	can be delivered.	Benefits 1-3.
Improved		greater		<b>T</b>
openness, accountabilit	Introduce fast-track	ownership of	The MPS will	To consider
y and	procedures over which	staff	deliver the	the monitoring of staff and
accessibility	the CPS will not have power of veto. This will	behaviour, an	following benefits;	stakeholder
of	be a hearing without	opportunity to		perception in
Investigation	witness, i.e. based on	learn lessons	1. To encourage the use of	line with
S.	documentary evidence		'Unsatisfactory	reduction
	only and dismissal	and seek	Performance	target
Improved	without notice is an	improvement	Procedures' in	timescales (as
sensitivity,	option.	and	poor	above) for
timeliness,		considerable	performance	Benefits 4 and
effectiveness and	Set minimum	cost savings	cases rather	5;
efficiency of	standards of behaviour.	to the MPS.	than seeking a	MPS Staff
Investigation			misconduct	• IVIF 3 Stall
investigation			1	l

MPS Professional Standards Mission and Strategic Aims 2006 - 2009	Taylor Project Vision	Benefits expected from delivering Taylor Project	Benefit Measures and Measurement Methodology	Planned Benefit Dates
s. • Enhanced understandin g of customer needs. Improved external awareness of Professional Standards areas of work.	<ul> <li>Encourage cultural change – the emphasis on improvement rather than punishment.</li> <li>Greater public confidence that we can and will deal with poor performance/condu ct.</li> </ul>	A more engaged workforce where openness and accountability will be encouraged to engender a culture where 'to err is human'. Doing something wrong is not the same as wrongdoing. We will look to learn and improve through the Professional Standards Support Programme to reduce the level of cases that relate to poor performance rather than misconduct. Police Officer and Police Staff discipline processes, although separate, will be aligned where possible to ensure similar consistent outcomes. Where gross misconduct leads to a misconduct hearing, DPS will invoke fast track procedures to reduce the	outcome. 2. Proportionality of procedures, particularly for minority staff. 3. The use of local resolution for minor misconduct. 4. To improve staff perception of DPS. 5. Increased Stakeholder Satisfaction.	Questionnair e. DPS Stakeholder Satisfaction Survey (through MPS Corporate Research and Survey Unit). Key Individual Network (KIN) and Safer Neighbourho od Survey. Consider the use of MPA and MPS Focus Groups.

MPS Professional Standards Mission and Strategic Aims 2006 - 2009	Taylor Project Vision	Benefits expected from delivering Taylor Project	Benefit Measures and Measurement Methodology	Planned Benefit Dates
		length of the hearing.		
		To provide easy access for internal and external customers through effective marketing and provision of one central contact point for all Professional Standards enquiries.		